

UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON - CLEAR LAKE

School of Education

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

December 10, 2004

Version 8.3

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	PREAMBLE	4
1.0	MISSION	4
2.0	FACULTY	4
2.1	Hiring	4
2.2	Promotion and/or Tenure	4
2.3	Roles and Responsibilities of Faculty	5
2.4	Annual Review	6
2.5	Annual Review—Lecturers.....	12
2.6	Salary Increments	13
2.7	Interim Review of Untenured Faculty.....	13
2.8	Academic Home	14
2.9	Faculty Emeritus/Emerita Status Guidelines.....	15
2.10	Faculty Development Fund Guidelines and Policies	15
2.11	Faculty Development Leave	20
2.12	Post-Tenure Performance Review Policy for Tenured Faculty.....	20
2.13	Policy for Web-Based Courses	21
2.14	Graduate Faculty Definition.....	22
2.15	Direction of Projects and Theses Policy	22
3.0	ADMINISTRATION	23
3.1	Hiring	23
3.2	Roles and Responsibilities	23
3.3	Evaluation	24
4.0	CURRICULUM	24
5.0	ORGANIZATION AND COMMITTEES	24
5.1	Faculty As-a-Whole	24
5.2	Academic Review Committee (ARC).....	25
5.3	Promotion and Tenure Committees.....	25
5.4	Teacher Certification Council	26
5.5	Nominations and Elections Committee.....	27
5.6	Student Affairs Committee.....	27
5.7	Faculty Development Committee.....	27
5.8	School of Education Sub-Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects	28
5.9	Ad Hoc Faculty Search Committees	29
5.10	Faculty Research and Support Fund	29

5.11	Facilities and Resources Committee	29
5.12	Educational Policies and Courses Committee.....	29
5.13	University Planning Committee	29
5.14	University Life Committee	29
5.15	University Research, Computing and Library Committee.....	29
5.16	Academic Honesty Committee.....	30
5.17	Continuity in Office	30
5.18	Vacancies	30
5.19	Teacher Center Executive Board.....	30
6.0	GOVERNANCE AND ACADEMIC POLICIES	30
6.1	Policy Formulation.....	30
6.2	Catalog	30
6.3	Master's Option Guidelines	30
6.4	Academic Governance	30
7.0	STUDENT AFFAIRS	31
7.1	Admissions.....	31
7.2	Advisor Assignments	32
7.3	Student Files.....	32
7.4	Degree Plans	33
7.5	Internship II Eligibility Audits.....	33
7.6	State Assessments	34
7.7	Graduation Procedures.....	35
7.8	Deficiency Plans	35
7.9	Teacher Certification Procedure.....	36
7.10	Internships I and II	36
7.11	Procedures for Application for Post-Degree Internship (PDI) Program	41
7.12	Withdrawal or Dismissal from Post-Degree Internship Program.....	42
7.13	Professional Dispositions	43
7.14	Provision of Individualized Assistance to Students in their First Year Following Program Completion	43
7.15	Scholarship Policies	44
7.16	Grade Grievance Policy	44
7.17	Records Management.....	46
8.0	CENTER FOR EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS	48
8.1	Kids'U Operations	48
8.2	Professional Development and Training Programs.....	48
8.3	Evaluation of Continuing Education Programs.....	48

UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON - CLEAR LAKE

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

PREAMBLE

This document provides a structure within which the School of Education functions. This structure is designed to be supportive of the interests of all parties (students, faculty, administrators, and the community at-large). In order to be operational, this document was recommended by the faculty and approved by the Dean. All policies and procedures outlined within this document are intended to be in compliance with the more general policies and procedures established by the University of Houston - Clear Lake, regulations established by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board and the State Board for Educator Certification, as well as the laws of the State of Texas. If conflict exists between this document and any of these regulations, then the regulations of the higher authority shall have priority and precedence.

1.0 MISSION (4/22/98, 11/17/99, 10/15/03)

The mission of the School of Education is to prepare outstanding educators through achievement of the highest standards of knowledge, skills and dispositions. The mission is accomplished by promoting:

- excellence and innovation in learner-centered teaching and learning for all
- the value and understanding of all types of diversity
- professional and personal integrity
- effective use of technologies
- partnerships with and service to the community
- on-going assessment for both candidate and program improvement, and
- research to expand the knowledge base for teaching and learning.

2.0 FACULTY

2.1 Hiring

- 2.1.1 For tenure-track faculty a search committee consisting of a minimum of five members, including a majority of members who are tenure-track faculty, will be appointed by the Dean, and the new faculty member shall be hired following a national search for the best qualified candidate. The faculty shall follow affirmative action and other procedures as specified by UHCL in the conduct of the search and shall forward their recommendation to the Dean, in the form and manner prescribed by the Dean.
- 2.1.2 For full-time non-tenure track and one-year appointments, the above procedures shall be followed except that a committee of at least three persons, including at least two faculty members shall be appointed by the Dean and while a national search is desirable, it is not required.
- 2.1.3 Records related to faculty searches will be maintained for a period of three years following completion of the search and then destroyed.

2.2 Promotion and/or Tenure (7/5/01 & 7/8/02)

- 2.2.1 In accordance with University policy, faculty members undergoing mandatory review for promotion and/or tenure will be informed of this review by the Dean by the deadline published each year by the Provost. The faculty members will need to provide their portfolios for review by the appropriate published date.
- 2.2.2 All faculty members under consideration will meet as a group with the Associate Dean and the Chair of the appropriate Promotion and Tenure Committee prior to the end of the preceding spring semester and will be informed of the timelines and guidelines for the review process, the dates of submission, and the composition of the vita and documentation. The Program Area Chairs will be invited to attend the meeting.
- 2.2.3 By May 15 of the preceding semester, faculty members under consideration will submit a list of at least five external reviewers with a brief description of their professional expertise and complete contact information. The

external reviewers may not have a close personal relationship with the faculty member and may neither have served on the candidate's dissertation committee nor have published as a co-author with the candidate. In consultation with the Associate Dean, the Promotion and Tenure Committee will select at least three external reviewers from the submitted list.

- 2.2.4 By May 15th the candidate will submit to the Associate Dean at least the following materials, which will be forwarded to the external reviewers: five publications, a narrative describing how the enclosed materials are related to the candidate's scholarship and a vita. The candidate may also provide other evidence of scholarship and proficiency in teaching and service.
 - 2.2.5 The Associate Dean will send a cover letter and the candidate's packet to selected external reviewers by June 15.
 - 2.2.6 School-level review will follow the published time schedule and include an independent written review and recommendation to the Dean by the Associate Dean and the Promotion and Tenure Committee. Criteria to be used in the review are those listed in the Faculty Handbook and include: teaching and educational activities; research, scholarly and artistic activities; and professional service activities. The Dean will forward copies of both recommendations to the candidates, the Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee and the Associate Dean.
 - 2.2.7 Tenured faculty members wishing to apply for consideration for promotion from the rank of associate professor to full professor or non-tenured faculty seeking early consideration for promotion or tenure must inform the Dean in writing of their intent by April 15 of the preceding year. Timelines and procedures, which may be obtained from the Dean, will follow those established for the mandatory review for promotion or tenure, and will utilize the criteria presented in the Faculty Handbook.
 - 2.2.8 Giving consideration to the recommendations of both the Associate Dean and the Promotion and Tenure Committee, the Dean will formulate a promotion/tenure recommendation utilizing the criteria listed in the Faculty Handbook. This recommendation will be forwarded to the Provost in accordance with the published timeline, with copies sent to the candidate, Chair of the Promotion and Tenure Committee, and the Associate Dean.
- 2.3 Roles and Responsibilities of Faculty
- 2.3.1 Teaching and Educational Activities

Teaching is a direct educational involvement with students inside or outside the classroom and includes such usual activities as advisement, classroom instruction, seminars, independent study project supervision, and supervision of graduate research. Educational activities include the development of new curricula or courses, educational publications, textbooks, development of new degree and training programs, and the incorporation of technology.
 - 2.3.2 Research, Scholarly, or Artistic Activities

Research, scholarly or artistic activities are those which lead to: the production and dissemination of new knowledge; increased problem-solving capabilities, including such activities as design and analysis; original critical or historical theory and interpretation; or the production of art or artistic performance.
 - 2.3.3 Professional Service Activities

Professional service activities include (a) service to professional organizations and journals; (b) service to the university; and (c) service to the public.
 - 2.3.4 Office Hours (8/22/03)

Faculty members are required to maintain regular office hours when students or staff may contact them. The schedule of these hours should be posted. The number of office hours may vary depending upon the nature of the individual's assignment and upon how many student advisees are assigned, normally six hours per week minimum in regular semesters. Faculty also are expected to maintain office hours during registration periods and during summer terms when holding a teaching assignment. Adjunct faculty teaching only on-line courses are allowed to hold "virtual" office hours. However, the hours must be posted and there must be a minimum of two hours per course.
 - 2.3.5 Outside Professional Activity

Outside professional activity consistent with and supportive of university assignments is encouraged to the extent that it does not interfere with university-based responsibilities. In general, the guideline of at most one day per week of outside non-university commitments is recognized.

2.4 Annual Review

2.4.1 Purpose

A review of the productivity of all full-time continuing faculty is required each year. The review process provides a mechanism by which the contributions of a faculty member can be recognized and rewarded and establishes an informational basis for faculty professional growth and development. The process is intended to account for the individuality of each faculty member. Associated with the review process is a program that will encourage and support faculty members in their desire to increase their productivity; the professional growth and development of faculty is of the highest priority to the School of Education.

2.4.2 Procedure

This Annual Review will include all professional activities of the faculty member in the categories of teaching and advisement, research and scholarship, and service that have taken place during the preceding calendar year. The review forms and guidelines will be forwarded to faculty in December and will be completed and turned in by each faculty member by a specified date in January. The faculty member shall have the responsibility to list and document all professional activities that are to be credited for a given year. Such supporting materials as may be useful in the assessment of faculty accomplishments may be attached to the Annual Review form.

2.4.2.1 Each of teaching, scholarship and service may be weighted from 20% to 50% in such a way that the total is 100%. The Associate Dean shall select the weightings in such a way as to maximize the tenured faculty member's total score. (9/23/92 & 8/26/02 & 1/22/03)

2.4.2.2 Tenure-track faculty who have not gained tenure are expected to perform in all three categories and will have equal weights of 33.3% assigned to each component until tenure is granted. Notification of this expectation will accompany appointment. (9/23/92)

2.4.2.3 Individuals who are hired on one-year contracts or in other non-tenure track situations will have the percentages for weights assigned at the time of appointment. Weights assigned to each of the three categories may range from 100% to 0%, reflecting the needs of the School of Education for which such individuals are appointed. If these faculty members are appointed for additional one-year terms, the weights will be included as part of the appointment process. (9/23/92)

2.4.3 Review by the Associate Dean

The material will be submitted to and reviewed by the Associate Dean, who will evaluate faculty productivity in each of the three categories and also provide an overall assessment performance. The Associate Dean also shall provide written comments pertaining to the assessments in each of the three performance categories. The Associate Dean will forward a copy of the evaluation form with ratings and comments to the faculty member. Faculty members are encouraged to meet with the Associate Dean to review their evaluations. Non-tenured faculty and faculty rated in the lowest merit and no merit categories are required to meet with the Associate Dean, but other faculty may meet with the Associate Dean at the option of the faculty member or the Associate Dean.

Whether or not a meeting is held, faculty members are required to sign the Annual Review Form, indicating that they have received and reviewed its contents; the signature of the faculty member does not imply that the faculty member agrees with the ratings or comments. The signed forms shall be returned to the Associate Dean within two weeks of the date they are delivered to the faculty member's office. A faculty member shall have the right to request reconsideration of the ratings provided by the Associate Dean; the faculty member shall forward their request in writing together with their reason for requesting reconsideration to the Associate Dean. The Associate Dean shall communicate his or her decision in writing regarding the outcome of the reconsideration to the faculty member within two weeks of receipt of the request.

2.4.4 Review by the Dean

After completion of the review cycle by the Associate Dean, the Associate Dean will forward the ratings to the Dean. If upon review, the Dean decides to change any of the ratings provided by the Associate Dean, the faculty member and the Associate Dean will be notified of the change and the faculty member will have the opportunity to

meet with the Dean to discuss the evaluation. The Dean or the faculty member has the option of requesting a meeting to discuss the ratings; if no meeting is called the ratings will stand. When ratified by the Dean, these assessments will serve as the primary basis for merit salary increments, as may be authorized by the State or university, and will be placed in the faculty member's permanent file.

2.4.5 Categories and Criteria

Ratings of each of the three performance categories shall be assessed on a five-point rating scale, where five indicates outstanding performance in the category. A description of the professional activities and products are to be credited in each category shall be included on the evaluation form and shall be consistent with the definitions provided in the Faculty Handbook. Performance that is judged to be outstanding, typically will include a level of performance which attains national visibility and a level of effort such that it would be extremely unusual for a faculty member to attain a rating of outstanding in more than one category. In recognition that the three rating categories may not account for the full measure and value of a faculty member's contributions, either qualitatively or quantitatively, the review process shall allow for three additional points to be awarded for merit which otherwise may not be accounted for within the structure of the review. These additional points, if awarded, will be granted only for specific reasons, which will be noted on the evaluation form when forwarded to the faculty member. There will be a possible total of 18 points to be awarded.

2.4.6 Evaluation of Teaching (4/22/98)

2.4.6.1 Quantity of Teaching and Educational Activities (workload)

1. Classroom Instruction (UHCL Campus)
(Evidence of courses taught, level, student average numbers in courses, required or elective, core or program course, overloads, and other factors affecting workload)
2. Field-based, Distance, Off-Campus, Internships, Practica and Multiple-Site Courses
(Evidence of courses taught, level, student average numbers in courses, required or elective, core or program course, distance traveled, and other factors affecting workload)
3. Individual Instruction
(Theses, projects, independent study instruction, and other factors affecting workload. List student names and indicate if the activity is completed or in-progress)
4. Student Products Published/Presented at Conferences
(Student name, conference or publication, type of assistance given, and other factors affecting workload)
5. Adjunct and/or Faculty Mentoring
(Description of the nature and extent of the mentoring and other factors affecting workload)
6. Advisee Load
(Number assigned and other factors affecting workload)
7. Other Evidence of Teaching Workload
(Guest teaching in other classes, and other factors affecting workload not addressed above)

2.4.6.2 Student Satisfaction

1. Student Satisfaction Questionnaire
(Summary of scores for courses taught. Summary scores are calculated from the following three questions:

Overall, this course was a valuable learning experience.
Overall, the instruction of this course was relevant to the objective of the course.
Overall, the instructor was fair in evaluating my progress in this course.)

Strong evidence = All 3 midpoints greater than or equal to 3 and 2 greater than 4
Satisfactory = All three midpoints greater than or equal to 2.5
Less than satisfactory = Any midpoint less than 2.5

2. Faculty Self-Assessment
The faculty member's assessment of his/her effectiveness and effect on candidate performance.
This section is required.
- 2.4.6.3 Course and Program Development
1. Innovative Teaching Methods and Instructional Materials
(Innovative instructional materials developed by the course instructor; textbooks)
 2. New Course Development
(Activities to develop new courses or to enhance current courses)
 3. Program Development
(Recruitment activities, site visits, committee work, program effectiveness studies, program reviews, alumni/employee feedback and other activities which contribute to program development)
- 2.4.6.4 Development Activities Related to Teaching and Educational Activities
1. Attendance at conferences, workshops, seminars, institutes, special courses
(List title, sponsoring agency, date, and impact of the activity on your teaching and educational activities)
 2. Other Development
(Title, date, agency, if appropriate, and impact on your teaching and educational activities)
- 2.4.6.5 Special Awards, Honors, Contributions, Grants Received Related to Your Teaching and Educational Activities
- 2.4.6.6 Faculty members are to summarize their activities under each of these areas and justify those areas in which they believe strong evidence exists. It is expected that all faculty would be involved in student instruction (workload) and would do that with a level of quality, would be involved in course and program development, and would undertake personal development activities. It is not expected that faculty would have evidence in the fifth category except under exceptional circumstances. Thus, the responsibility is that of the faculty member to communicate activities and involvement which constitute strong evidence.
- 2.4.6.7 Rubric for the Evaluation of Teaching and Educational Activities
- 5 (Outstanding): Strong evidence in 3 categories, one of which must be Student Satisfaction (2.4.6.2).
- 4 (Very Good): Strong evidence in 2 categories, and at least satisfactory evidence in Student Satisfaction.
- 3 (Good): Strong evidence in 1 category, and at least satisfactory evidence in Student Satisfaction.
- 2 (Fair): No strong evidence in any category, and at least satisfactory evidence in Student Satisfaction.
- 1 (Poor): Less than satisfactory in three or more categories.
- 0 (No teaching): No evidence of teaching and educational activities is submitted.
- 2.4.6.8 The use of alternative student satisfaction forms will be accepted provided that the form to be used is approved by the Associate Dean prior to the beginning of the semester in which it is to be administered and with the understanding that all alternative instruments will include the following three statements with the adopted five-point rubric:
- "Overall, this course was a valuable learning experience."
 "Overall, the instruction of this course was relevant to the objectives of the course."
 "Overall, the instructor was fair in evaluating my progress in this course."

2.4.7 Research and Scholarly Activities (1/25/95, 11/17/99 & 10/15/03)

Research and scholarly activities are those which lead to the improvement of education through:

- (a) the creation and dissemination of new knowledge, based on empirical and theoretical studies;
- (b) the application of knowledge to solve educational problems, including activities such as design, analysis, and evaluation of professional practices in education;
- (c) original critical, historical, philosophical, comparative, ethnographic or methodological theory, analysis and interpretation.

Evaluation of productivity in research and scholarly activities must be based on records of accomplishments (i.e., publications, conference papers, awards, grants, etc.).

2.4.7.1 Sources of Evidence for Research and Scholarly Activities

Publications (i.e., books, chapters, journal articles, etc.) must reflect scholarly rigor by including a survey of related literature as well as demonstrating critical and novel thinking about the subject. Additional indicators may be included such as research awards, invitations for presentations/publications and commendations. Scholarly rigor is defined as: works based on empirical and theoretical studies that include activities such as design, analysis, and evaluation of professional practices in education. It includes critical, historical, comparative, philosophical, ethnographic, or methodological theory, analysis and interpretation.

2.4.7.2 Within each category individual activities may provide significant contributions to warrant the assignment of additional points. For example, scholarly books or major funded research projects may be assigned 16 points based on quality indicators provided by the faculty. Faculty may submit documentation establishing that items normally falling within a given category should be considered in a higher category.

Category 1 (a minimum of 4 points will be assigned for each of the sources): Books, book chapters, international/national refereed journal articles, grants (externally funded - \$25,000 and above), and edited books

Category 2 (minimum of 3 points): International/national refereed conference presentations, International/national non-refereed journal articles, International/national refereed conference proceedings, regional/state journal publications, and grants (externally funded - \$10,000 less than \$25,000.)

Category 3 (minimum 2 points): Monographs*, book reviews, international/national non-refereed published conference proceedings, regional/state conference presentations, critical reviews of research, and grants (externally funded \$2,500 less than \$10,000).

Category 4 (minimum 1 point): Assessment instruments, research grants (applied for), technical reports**, local conference presentations, local journal publications, editorial boards/editorships, internally funded grants (not travel), and grants (externally funded - less than \$2,500).

* Monographs are defined as works of at least 10 pages formally printed by a professional organization or publisher.

** Technical reports are defined as works generally tied to research projects. These, for example, are generally submitted to funding agencies to satisfy reporting requirements.

2.4.7.3 Research and Scholarly Activities Scoring Rubric

Faculty are encouraged to engage in both single authored and collaborative scholarly activities. In order to demonstrate professional competence, a faculty member must demonstrate the ability to write and publish alone as well as with others. Single-authored and multiple-authored works will receive full credit. When there are more than three authors on a publication, it will be the responsibility of the faculty member to justify the significance of that individual's contribution to the publication.

5 (Outstanding): To be rated as "outstanding" a faculty member would normally have at least 12 points from Category 1 and a total of 22 points.

4 (Very Good): To be rated as "very good" a faculty member would normally ~~need~~ have at least 8 points from Category 1 and a total of 16 points.

3 (Good*): To be rated as "good" a faculty member would normally have at least 4 points from Category 1, plus an additional 4 points from either Categories 1 or 2, and a total of 12 points. This is the minimal expectation for School of Education faculty.

2 (Fair): To be rated as "fair" a faculty member would normally have at least 8 points.

1 (Poor): To be rated as "poor" a faculty member would normally have between 1-7 points.

0 (No Research): To receive a rating of "0" a faculty member would normally have 0 points.

* Untenured faculty are reminded that the annual review is intended to provide a yearly evaluation while the tenure and promotion committee examines a cumulative body of work and therefore there is not a one-to-one correspondence. It is strongly suggested that untenured faculty try to exceed minimum expectations for School of Education faculty.

2.4.8 Evaluation of Service (9/23/92 & 3/20/02)

2.4.8.1 The following five categories for service are considered:

Category 1: Service to the Institution (Required for most ratings)

1. Service on school committees, task forces, subcommittees
2. Service on university committees, task forces, subcommittees, student advisory groups

Category 2: Service to national or international professional organizations. Examples include:

1. Offices in professional organizations
2. Committee assignments and responsibilities
3. Boards or governing bodies of national or international associations.
4. Serving on review panels and screening committees
5. Serving on accreditation bodies

Category 3: Service to state or regional (multi-state) professional organizations. Examples include:

1. Offices in professional organizations
2. Committee assignments and responsibilities
3. Boards or governing bodies of state or regional associations
4. Serving on review panels and screening committees
5. Serving on accreditation bodies

Category 4: Service including professional consulting service (paid and non-paid), work for school districts, community, state, regional, national, or international organizations, and special one-time School or University service. Examples include:

1. Organizing or conducting workshops, seminars, training sessions
2. Speaking at a professional organization or school district meeting
3. Serving on a local professional committee
4. Serving on a community board
5. Consulting for a school district
6. Serving as Grand Marshall, Student Marshall at graduation, serving at Graduate Open House, etc.

Category 5: Additional evidence of merit or recognition. Examples include:

1. Service Awards from the University or a professional organization
2. Elected officer of a state, regional, national or international organization
3. Other special honors, e.g., nominated to run for a state or national office
4. Program Area Chair
5. Program Coordinator

2.4.8.2 Rubric for the Evaluation of Service Activities

5 (Outstanding): Strong evidence in 3 categories, 1 must be School/University service and 1 must be State/Regional or National/International service.

4 (Very Good): Strong evidence in 2 categories with at least satisfactory in School/ University service or Strong evidence in School/University service and 2 additional categories with Satisfactory service.

3 (Good): Strong evidence in 1 category, and at least satisfactory evidence in a second category. School/University service must be at least satisfactory.

2 (Fair): No strong evidence in any category, and at least satisfactory evidence in School/University service and 2 other categories.

1 (Poor): Not strong in any category and less than satisfactory in three or more categories.

2.4.8.3 Evidence for Strong and Satisfactory Service:

Service activities need to be presented in the Annual Review in terms of tasks performed and results achieved. It is incumbent upon the faculty member to present this pertinent information to the Associate Dean in the Annual Review.

Descriptions below are meant to be guidelines for determining Strong and Satisfactory. There are committees that accomplish extraordinary work; therefore, fewer committees would be needed to achieve a Strong in an area. There may be other committees that do not perform sufficient service to be rated as Strong even with service on the number of committees (Categories 1,2,3) or activities performed (Category 4).

Category 1. School/University Service

Strong in School/University Service might include: service on at least 5 committees, task forces, or subcommittees. Satisfactory in School/University Service might include: service on 1-4 committees, task forces, or subcommittees.

Category 2. National/International Service

For example, service as a member of 3 national committees or chair of one committee might earn a Strong rating, especially if time invested and results achieved are significant. A rating of Satisfactory could be described as serving on one or two national committees.

Category 3. State/Regional Service

For example, service as a member of 3 state committees or chair of one committee might earn a Strong rating, especially if time invested and results achieved are significant. A rating of Satisfactory could be described as serving on one or two state committees.

Category 4. Professional Service Activities

Strong in professional (paid or unpaid) service includes participating in 7 or more activities such as speeches, consulting activities, serving on a board, and providing workshops for school districts or regional service centers. A rating of Satisfactory could be described as participating in 1- 6 activities. Activities reported in Teaching are not to be counted here.

Category 5. Additional Areas of Merit

Strong evidence could include special honors or awards from the University or professional organizations or outstanding service as Program Area Chair or Program Coordinator. Satisfactory evidence might include service honors such as a nomination for a national or state office.

2.4.8.4 General Considerations About the Evaluation of Service

2.4.8.4.1 Service is important to the success of Programs, the School and the University.

2.4.8.4.2 Service is an important component of each faculty member's annual review.

2.4.8.4.3 Service is an important component of the tenure and promotion process.

- 2.4.8.4.4 There must be a variance among faculty on the evaluation of service on the annual review. Because of the use of the median score on student evaluation surveys, there is very little variance in teaching. If we create a service evaluation instrument in which there is little variance among faculty, research becomes the only variable for determining that variability (differences among/between Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Fair, and Poor). It is assumed that this would be unacceptable to most faculty members.
- 2.4.8.4.5 Program development activities are primarily to be listed in teaching. Examples of Program committee activities to be considered in Service are a Faculty Search Committee for a program or special ad hoc/task forces created for a specific task, e.g., New Certifications Task Force. Activities such as Program Reviews, NCATE accreditation work; organizing an State Assessment study session as well as marketing activities for a Program should be reported in Teaching under Program Development.
- 2.4.8.4.6 Special meritorious evidence that may earn a person Outstanding in Service in and of itself might be election as an officer of a large state or national organization, president of the Faculty Senate or the elected chair of a shared governance committee.
- 2.4.8.4.7 The Associate Dean has the option to increase a rating from Satisfactory to Strong because of unusual service on a committee in a given year.
- 2.4.8.4.8 In addition to School/University service, as faculty members approach tenure and promotion they will want to increase their state/regional and/or national/international service.
- 2.4.8.4.9 Senior faculty members are encouraged to serve as members and chairs on University-level committees and chairs of School committees. Faculty members approaching tenure and promotion will want to consider membership on University Committees, subcommittees and task forces.
- 2.4.8.4.10 If baseline activities are not performed in a given year, the Associate Dean may reduce a faculty member's rating. Baseline activities include: attend School meetings; attend PAC meetings; attend Program meetings; attend presentations of candidates for tenure-track positions in your Program; hold membership in associations/organizations pertinent to your program; and attend at least one graduation ceremony per year.
- 2.4.8.4.11 Membership on a committee for any part of a year is considered membership for an entire year. However, as above, faculty will want to present service on a committee in the Annual Review in terms of time invested and results achieved.
- 2.4.8.4.12 In order to allow new faculty time to develop their teaching, research, and service agenda, it is recommended that the first time tenure-track faculty members are subject to the policies of the Service evaluation is the third annual review. Untenured faculty members would receive a rating of Good in service for the first two annual reviews unless a higher rating is earned. In the faculty member's Annual Review feedback, the Associate Dean would (a) evaluate the faculty members in terms of a course of action that they need to take toward achieving short and long-term goals and (b) indicate the actual rating reflective of their performance in service that year.
- 2.4.8.4.13 Faculty on approved development leave who maintain their service responsibilities will not be disadvantaged in their annual review.

2.5 Annual Review—Lecturers

2.5.1 Purpose

A review of the productivity of lecturers is required each year for lecturers who were serving in this capacity in the previous spring semester, i.e., those who are in their first semester as a lecturer are not required to submit an Annual Review. Lecturers are appointed on one-year contracts typically teaching as their primary assignment. Using the weighting system described in 2.4.2.1, a lecturer's contract would normally be weighted Teaching: 80%, Service: 20%, Scholarly Activities: 0%. This difference in focus between lecturers and faculty means that there are

differences in the criteria and procedures described in section 2.4 when evaluating lecturers. This section contains a statement of those differences. If the lecturer has major responsibilities in addition to teaching, those responsibilities will be reflected in the weights applied to Teaching, Scholarly Activities and Service.

2.5.2 Procedures

The Annual Review for lecturers will include all professional activities in the areas of Teaching and Service. While lecturers are encouraged to be involved in Scholarly Activities, it is an optional category. As an optional category, evidence presented in the area of Scholarly Activities will be taken into account, but lack of evidence in this area will not lower the evaluation.

While the Annual Review form is different between faculty and lecturers, the timelines and responsibilities for completing the form are the same for both lecturers and faculty. The procedures described in sections 2.4.3 and 2.4.4 are in effect for lecturers.

2.5.3 Categories and Criteria

The ratings for Teaching and Service will be assessed on a five-point rating scale, where five indicates outstanding performance. The rating for Scholarly Activities will be either a 0 or a 1. If the evidence for Scholarly Activity warrants credit, then one point may be added to the lecturer's overall evaluation as defined in the description of the "additional point" in section 2.4.5. The remainder of section 2.4.5 is in effect.

2.5.4 Evaluation of Teaching

The evaluation of the teaching of lecturers will include the categories described in Section 2.4.6.

2.5.5 Evaluation of Research and Scholarly Activities

The statements made in the opening paragraph of 2.4.7 and section 2.4.7.1 are in effect.

The criteria described in 2.4.7.2 are based on the expected productivity of tenured or tenure-track faculty. Such criteria are not appropriate when evaluating the Scholarly Activities of lecturers. First, Scholarly Activities is an optional area for lecturers and, second, the expectations are very different for faculty than for lecturers.

Since Scholarly Activities is an optional area for lecturers, only two categories will be used: 0 (No credit) or 1 (Credit). The decision shall be the Associate Dean's based on the performance of all the lecturers. The comparison will not be based on the Scholarly Activities of the tenured or tenure-track faculty nor the level of productivity specified in 2.4.7.2. If, based on the evidence provided, the Associate Dean decides that credit is warranted in the Scholarly Activities category, then s/he may award one point to the lecturer's overall score.

2.5.6 Evaluation of Service will be in accordance with Section 2.4.8.

2.6 Salary Increments

To the extent permitted by the State Legislature and University policy, the School of Education will award salary increments according to merit. The Annual Review process and ratings shall serve as the primary basis for merit increments. Issues of salary equity may be addressed at the discretion of the Dean.

2.7 Interim Review of Untenured Faculty

2.7.1 Rationale and Philosophy

The criteria for tenure and the standards for their application will be the same for all eligible faculty members within academic rank, regardless of their years of service or other similar factors.

It is the responsibility of the School of Education to provide guidance to untenured faculty with regard to candidacy and progress toward tenure and possible promotion. Review of untenured faculty will provide written and oral assessments to include: (a) strengths and weaknesses in the areas of teaching, research and scholarly activity, and service; (b) suggestions for strengthening the faculty member's performance, and (c) recommendations regarding the format and documentation of the candidate's vita and supporting materials. Although this review and its recommendations and findings do not imply a commitment to future school or

university action in promotion and tenure, the review is intended to provide candidates with specific, formative assessments of progress toward tenure and/or possible promotion.

2.7.2 Procedures and Guidelines (7/5/01)

- 2.7.2.1 The Associate Professor Promotion and Tenure Committee will be elected annually for a two-year term. The first year those elected will serve as the Promotion and Tenure Committee. The second year they will serve as the Interim Review Committee.
- 2.7.2.2 All faculty members under consideration will meet as a group with the Associate Dean and the Chair of the appropriate Promotion and Tenure Committee prior to the end of the spring semester and will be informed of the timelines and guidelines for the review process, the dates of submission, and the composition of the vita and documentation. The Program Area Chairs will be invited to attend the meeting.
- 2.7.2.3 The review of materials submitted by the untenured faculty members undergoing interim review will be carried out independently by the Interim Review Committee and the Associate Dean, who will send written descriptive reports to the Dean. The Dean will forward copies of both reports to the candidates, the Chair of the Interim Review Committee and the Associate Dean.
- 2.7.2.4 The assessments by the Committee and the Associate Dean shall be descriptive in nature and focus on the candidate's strengths, weaknesses, and strategies for improvement in relation to current University tenure and promotion standards.
- 2.7.2.5 The Dean, Associate Dean, Program Area Chair and Interim Review Committee Chair will meet with the candidate to suggest (a) actions that the faculty member might take to enhance teaching, research, and service performance and (b) specific ways in which the format and documentation of vita and supporting materials might be improved. Following the meeting, the Dean will prepare a written summary of the major points covered in the meeting and forward a copy of this summary to the candidate, Associate Dean, Program Area Chair, and the Chair of the Interim Review Committee.
- 2.7.2.6 Following the interim review, the Dean at his/her discretion, may award a course release for the fall or spring semester of the following academic year in accordance with guidelines established by the Dean. This course release, if granted, is to provide the faculty member with additional time to address recommendations resulting from the interim review.

2.8 Academic Home

- 2.8.1 Each full-time faculty member in the School of Education will be assigned one or more academic homes for the purposes of scheduling, planning, and curriculum development. Each academic home is designated by a School of Education program or rubric. Within each Program, each faculty member will accept responsibility for a specific rubric or rubrics (ADSU, COUN, ECED, EDUC, GEOG, INST, LLLS, SILC, SPED, TCED).
- 2.8.2 An academic home is defined by the program and rubric assigned within the School of Education.
- 2.8.3 At the time of appointment academic homes will be assigned based on a faculty member's academic preparation, areas of competence, research, expertise, and School of Education need. Faculty will be assigned home rubrics by the Associate Dean in consultation with the Program Area Chairs, the Search Committee, and as approved by the Dean. In all cases, the responsibility for final approval of the academic homes rests with the Dean.
- 2.8.4 In the event a faculty member wants to add, delete, or modify her/his choice of academic home, the faculty member will so request in writing to the Associate Dean by January 15. The written statement should include a rationale for the change. Requests for changes, as approved, will be effective with the fall semester following the January 15 deadline.
- 2.8.5 Although a faculty member's primary teaching responsibility is to the program(s) to which she/he is assigned, a faculty member may wish to teach outside the academic home(s) she/he has designated. Also, another program area, Associate Dean, or Dean may request the services of a faculty member to teach outside the designated academic home. A faculty member may teach outside the designated academic home if the request is received prior to the completion of the schedule for the upcoming term with the approval of the Associate Dean in consultation with the affected Program Area Chair(s); in all cases, the decision of the Dean is final. Decisions are

based on the academic needs of the affected programs, accreditation standards, and the faculty member's academic preparation and areas of competence, research, and expertise.

- 2.8.6 For each academic home approved for a faculty member, she/he will participate fully in the work and the decisions of the program area represented. These activities include, but are not limited to, teaching classes, advising students, supervising projects and theses, curriculum development and review, and specialization and program area meetings. Faculty who have dual assignments between two or more of the School of Education programs are expected to participate fully in the work and decisions of the program areas responsible for these programs.
- 2.8.7 This policy does not affect the protection afforded faculty in matters of tenure, promotion, and termination as described in the Faculty Handbook.

2.9 Faculty Emeritus/Emerita Status Guidelines (Approved by University Council, 2/12/98)

In accordance with the UH System guidelines, the following policies and procedures have been established for determining emeritus/emera eligibility, privileges, and responsibilities at UHCL:

- 2.9.1 The titles "emeritus" and "emerita" will be conferred only upon those retired, tenured professors and tenured associate professors, who have made a significant contribution to UHCL. By significant contribution, it is meant that the faculty member must have a sustained and distinguished record of teaching, scholarship, or service.
- 2.9.2 In addition to the title Professor Emeritus/Emerita, the University will recognize the titles President Emeritus/Emerita, Chancellor Emeritus/Emerita, and Provost Emeritus/Emerita, as recommended by the Board of Regents.
- 2.9.3 The Provost's Office will send the Council of Professors a list of retiring faculty as soon as possible after retirement is announced. Nominations must be by colleagues of the candidate's school. Nominees will be reviewed by a committee selected from within the Council composed of a majority of the members from the nominee's school whenever possible. Committee recommendations will be sent to the Dean, the Senior Vice President and Provost, and the President for review and final recommendation to the Board of Regents.
- 2.9.4 All emeritus/emera appointments are subject to approval by the Board of Regents upon recommendation of the President. Approval by the Regents will normally occur in the spring of the year.
- 2.9.5 In addition to library, computing, and parking privileges, emeriti faculty should receive invitations to and announcements of appropriate campus and university functions and should be listed in appropriate catalogs and directories. Each school will determine any additional courtesies or privileges accorded emeriti faculty, including office space for collective use.
- 2.9.6 Emeriti faculty are invited to assist and advise the university in their areas of special competence.
- 2.9.7 The Council of Professors, in concert with the President's office, will maintain a committee to review emeriti benefits and development.

2.10 Faculty Development Fund Guidelines and Policies (11/16/94 and 9/20/95)

2.10.1 Purpose of Funds

The Faculty Development Fund (FDF) will be used to support a broad array of professional development activities by faculty. These funds will support activities designed to enhance teaching skills, and other activities related to a faculty member's professional development.

2.10.2 Faculty Eligibility

The following criteria must be satisfied to be eligible for support from the FDF:

- 2.10.2.1 The applicant must be a full-time member of the faculty.
- 2.10.2.2 The applicant must show how these funds will enhance professional qualifications and teaching skills.
- 2.10.2.3 The applicant must show evidence that he/she can successfully carry out the project within one year.

- 2.10.2.4 The funds will not be used for the completion of a doctoral dissertation or master's thesis.
- 2.10.2.5 The recipient must agree to continue at UHCL for the duration of the award.
- 2.10.2.6 If a member or members of the Faculty Development Committee should apply, the committee member submitting the proposal may take part in all other deliberations, but will be asked to leave when his/her application is being considered.
- 2.10.2.7 The faculty member must finish a project and submit a final report to the Dean from previously funded faculty development projects in the School of Education before new funds are awarded. Travel requests can be requested while a faculty member is working on another project.

2.10.3 Closing Date for Application

- 2.10.3.1 The deadlines for submitting applications for each funding cycle are noon on the following dates: October 15, January 15, April 15, and July 15. If the 15th falls on a weekend or holiday, the deadline for submitting proposals is noon of the next university workday.
- 2.10.3.2 By the deadline for proposal submission, a copy of the proposal shall be forwarded electronically to the Chair of the Faculty Development Committee and a printed copy delivered to the Office of the Dean. Each copy should include a cover sheet with the name of the applicant and the title of the proposal. The Chair of the Faculty Development Committee will forward copies of the proposal to the members of the Committee. (1/23/02)
- 2.10.3.3 No alterations, additions, or changes to proposals will be accepted after the noted closing dates.
- 2.10.3.4 An applicant may withdraw a proposal at any time before a final decision is made.
- 2.10.3.5 Proposals that have closing dates which do not permit adherence to the committee review calendar above, or which, for other clearly specified reasons must be acted upon immediately, may be submitted to the Dean, School of Education, for funding from that portion of the funds administered by the Dean, School of Education.

2.10.4 Support Priorities, Types and Limitations

The following sections discuss types of participation, type of support provided by these funds, and restrictions on support:

2.10.4.1 Funding Priorities

Priorities of funding will be given to:

1. Activities designed to further the faculty member's(s') professional development and teaching,
2. Creative activities that encourage new instructional and/or curricular designs.

The applicant must demonstrate how the proposed project meets the stated objectives of the FDF. Both individual and group projects and proposals will be considered.

2.10.4.2 Examples and Restrictions of Support

1. The preparation or writing of textbooks or other standard teaching materials is eligible for funds. Royalties generated by works underwritten by the FDF will go directly back to the FDF until repaid. All royalties distributed will conform to Intellectual Property Policy.
2. Costs associated with attending seminars, courses, or workshops for the purpose of improving one's teaching and/or research skills are eligible for funding.
3. Proposals for research about teaching are eligible.
4. Proposals for developing direct classroom-related instruction or preparing curriculum studies are eligible.

5. Travel awards for attendance at professional meetings are eligible. No more than two travel awards per faculty member may be allowed per fiscal year. (A fiscal year is defined as September 1 - August 31.) Travel must be specifically related to teaching, and/or for knowledge-based development.
 6. Group workshops/seminars that require funding for participants will be considered.
 7. Proposals for funding guest speakers and/or workshop leaders outside the School of Education will be considered.
- 2.10.4.3 Proposals to support scholarly or creative activities not related to teaching or research enhancement skills are not eligible for funding. (1/23/02)
- 2.10.4.4 Given the level of existing funds, summer salary requests will be given a low priority.

Summer salary requests are limited to a maximum of $8\frac{1}{3}$ percent of the academic year salary. This level of salary support is equivalent to teaching one course during the summer session. It is expected that faculty who receive funding during the summer session dedicate a large portion of their time to the completion of projects in that summer period.

- 2.10.4.5 Only one individual proposal per cycle per person will be considered. Group workshops and activities will not be counted as an individual proposal.

2.10.5 Distribution of Funds and Special Consideration

Faculty Development Funds will be distributed on an open competition basis. Funds remaining from one cycle will be carried forward to the next funding cycle.

2.10.5.1 Budget Modification

1. The Committee may recommend changes in the proposed budget.
2. The School of Education Faculty Development Committee may recommend to the Dean a negotiation of the proposed budget with the applicant.

2.10.5.2 Recipients Leaving the University

If a recipient of the School of Education Faculty Development Fund leaves the University before the project is completed, any remaining funds will be retained by the University in the School of Education Faculty Development Fund.

2.10.6 Proposal Requirements and Formats

Whenever applicable, the following formats should be used in submitting requests to the Faculty Development Fund.

Brevity will facilitate the review process. A project proposal and description should not exceed ten double-spaced typewritten pages. A request for travel funds should not exceed 5 double-spaced typewritten pages in length.

Any reprints, appendices or other materials to be considered with the proposal must be attached to the individual copies of the proposal.

A letter is required from the Associate Dean to support appropriate funds or lack of funds available from the School of Education travel funds. Documentation should be provided if other funding has been granted or requested from other sources such as from the Faculty Research and Support Fund.

Project proposals are to be presented in the following sequence; title of proposed request, abstract, purpose and objective of proposed request, background, procedures/general work plan, application/use of results, significance of request, time frame, budget (summary), and appended materials.

2.10.6.1 Project Proposal Format

1. Title of Proposed Request—Brief but properly descriptive.
2. Abstract—A brief, clear statement, not to exceed 150 words, of the overall purpose of the request. The abstract must be presented in clear and concise language.
3. Purpose and Objectives of Proposed Request—What is to be accomplished by and benefits to be derived from the proposed request.
4. Background—(a) Description of events leading up to the request, (b) for research and/or teaching enhancement projects, give a description of the current status of your research and/or teaching skills and how this project will enhance your research and/or teaching ability, and (c) include any preliminary work you have done which led to this proposal.
5. Procedures/General Work Plan—Procedures to be followed in attaining the specific aim of the request. A description of the specific nature of the proposed activity, as well as the names and credentials of professional personnel who will work on the proposed request must be included.
6. Application/Use of the Results—Discuss how applicant will apply or use what has been learned from the professional growth activity.
7. Significance of the Request—Potential importance of the proposed activities. How will the faculty member grow as a result of this? What is the benefit to the institution and School of Education? (Make clear how many people the proposed activity will benefit.)
8. Time Frame—A schedule of the proposed activity as well as a statement that indicates a final date by which a written final report will be submitted to the Dean (within 90 days after the project is completed or one year after the project is funded, whichever comes first).
9. Budget Summary—An itemized budget for the proposed activity.
10. Appended Materials—Appendices may be used to include supplemental information that supports the proposal but has no logical place within the proposal narrative.
11. Project proposals should not exceed ten double-spaced typewritten pages.

2.10.6.2 Travel Requests for Conferences or Workshops Format

1. Name and Date of Conference/Session/Workshops
2. Date(s), activity, and site(s)—The term "activity" is used in a broader sense to include more than just conferences, sessions, and workshops. Examples of such activities include a visit to an on-going development project or exemplary program or attendance at a lecture by an expert in the field, etc.
3. Description of:
 - (a) Purpose of the trip (including goal to be achieved and the professional experiences to be engaged in to accomplish it)
 - (b) Specific professional development benefits to the faculty member (including both short- and long-term benefits)
 - (c) Benefits to the School of Education (with emphasis on how the professional development benefits to be gained by the individual will promote the effectiveness of the school)
 - (d) Budget summary—A detailed budget that includes total cost of trip—travel, hotel, registration, meals and other costs. Information concerning other requests for funds to help in covering that cost must be provided.
 - (e) Date by which written report will be submitted (within 30 days after the trip is completed).

4. Appendix (supporting documents)—Letters that document other sources of funding, an annotated listing of sessions, and a letter of invitation are a few examples of the types of documentation that may be included.
5. A request for travel funds should not exceed five pages in length.

2.10.7 Evaluation of Proposals

- 2.10.7.1 All proposals for projects and conference attendance will be reviewed by the members of the Faculty Development Committee. The Committee may call upon ad hoc reviewers for further evaluation of the technical aspects of proposals.
- 2.10.7.2 Proposals will be judged primarily on merit.
- 2.10.7.3 The Faculty Development Committee will send its recommendations for funding to the Dean. A summary of the Committee's evaluation of each project or travel proposal will accompany the recommendations. In the case of proposals not recommended for funding, a summary detailing ways in which the proposal could be strengthened will be provided.
- 2.10.7.4 The following five major criteria or factors are considered in the evaluation of projects, travel or workshops:
 1. To what degree is the proposed project worth funding from this source? In other words, how significant and timely is the request as judged by the stated purpose of the FDF?
 2. To what degree are the proposed plans clear and complete, with need for project and expected project impact clearly noted?
 3. To what degree does the proposed effort appear to be worth the cost?
 4. To what extent does the request contribute to the faculty member's professional development?
 5. To what extent does the proposal fit the mission of the university and the goals of School of Education?

The above standards apply to all proposals, thus assuring that all proposals are evaluated equitably and objectively.

2.10.8 Faculty Development Committee

The Faculty Development Committee will consist of five members (the Chair and four members) elected by the School of Education faculty.

The Committee will inform the Dean of its recommendations as soon as feasible following the deadline for submission. The Dean will notify applicants of the status of the proposal as soon as possible.

2.10.9 Dissemination of Funds

The Faculty Development Committee will ensure that funds are available for each funding period. The Committee will report to the faculty amounts expended and amounts still available after each application deadline. All monies available but not awarded will be carried forward to the next funding deadline.

2.10.10 Submission of Written Final Report

Recipients of Faculty Development Funds must submit to the Dean a final report which summarizes the benefits of the funded activity.

Recipients of Faculty Development Funds for projects must submit the report within 90 days after the project is completed or one year after the project is funded, whichever comes first. Recipients of Faculty Development Funds for travel must submit the final report within 30 days after the trip is completed.

2.11 Faculty Development Leave

- 2.11.1 In accordance with University policies, the Faculty Development Committee will make recommendations for the awarding of Faculty Development Leave.
- 2.11.2 The following criteria will be used by the committee: faculty qualifications, quality of proposal, and benefits to the faculty member and the School of Education. (2/17/93)
- 2.11.3 For faculty awarded Faculty Development Leave, a typical time frame for resubmission is seven years unless extenuating circumstances exist. (10/16/96)
- 2.11.4 The Faculty Development Committee will invite the submission of proposals for the Faculty Development Leave by the end of October of each academic year, indicating the exact deadline for submission (approximately December 1st) and the person who is to receive the proposals.

2.12 Post-Tenure Performance Review Procedures for Tenured Faculty (3/24/99)

This policy describes the procedures that will be followed in the School of Education for the Post-Tenure Performance Review of Faculty. The Post-Tenure Performance Review Process will be directed primarily toward the professional development of tenured faculty.

- 2.12.1 The faculty member's contributions to the university, the School, the program area(s), and the academic discipline will be considered. The faculty member's contributions cover the areas of Teaching, Research, and Service through:
 - 1. the individual's effort and effectiveness in contributing to the university's instructional mission;
 - 2. the individual's participation in and contribution to the academic discipline; and
 - 3. the individual's contribution to the collective life of the University, School, program, and profession.

Furthermore, the School of Education affirms:

- 1. support for academic freedom (defined in Section 8.1 of the Faculty Handbook),
 - 2. tolerance for minority opinions,
 - 3. tolerance for dissent from professional orthodoxies, and
 - 4. tolerance for honest and civil disagreement with administrative policy, protocol, and actions.
- 2.12.2 Each faculty member must undergo a post-tenure performance review at least once every six years. State law requires that the first post-tenure performance review of faculty tenured prior to January 1, 1998 must occur no later than January 1, 2004.

Tenured faculty members will receive written notification by September 30 of the year preceding their post-tenure performance review. The six years covered by the review will be the calendar year of notification and the five calendar years preceding the year of notification. A faculty member promoted to the rank of professor will be considered as having successfully undergone a post-tenure review and will not be required to undergo another post-tenure review until six years after the promotion, except as noted in 2.12.2.3 below.

Tenured faculty will undergo a post-tenure performance review:

- 1. in the sixth year following their date of tenure and every sixth year thereafter, or
- 2. in any year in which they volunteer to be reviewed and every sixth year thereafter, or
- 3. when required by the Dean to have post-tenure performance review because of one or more of the following conditions:
 - a. The faculty member fails to submit annual reports by the deadlines unless an extension is approved by the Associate Dean, or

- b. The faculty member receives an evaluation of poor in Teaching on two consecutive annual evaluations, or
- c. The faculty member receives evaluations of poor in both Research and Service on two consecutive annual evaluations, or
- d. The faculty member receives evaluations of fair or poor (including at least one poor), on three consecutive annual evaluations in Teaching, or
- e. The faculty member receives evaluations of fair or poor (including at least one poor) in both Research and Service, on three consecutive annual evaluations.

2.12.3 First Stage Post-Tenure Performance Review

A Post-Tenure Performance Review will initially be conducted by a first stage committee for the school. The first stage committee will consist of six tenured faculty (excluding the Dean, Associate Dean, and faculty members up for post-tenure review) elected from the School of Education. The ballot will list the names of all tenured faculty from the school meeting the aforementioned criteria. Tenured faculty from the entire school will rank order all candidates on the list in accordance with the School of Education voting policy. The first stage committee will be elected annually and committee members serve for only one year. Members can, however, be re-elected.

The first stage post-tenure performance review committee will review the faculty member's vita and last six years of annual evaluations. The committee may request additional materials as needed.

If the first stage committee judges the faculty member's contributions, as listed in Section 2.12.1, to be satisfactory, then the faculty member's review is complete and the faculty member is not required to undergo another post-tenure performance review for six years except as noted in Sections 2.12.2.2 and 2.12.2.3.

If the committee does not consider the faculty member's contributions to be satisfactory, then the faculty member is considered to be potentially at risk. Tenured faculty will be considered potentially at risk and proceed to the second stage review if over a six year period of review:

1. The faculty member has two ratings of poor in Teaching in combination with one or more ratings of poor or fair in that area, or
2. The faculty member has two ratings of poor in both Research and Service in combination with one or more ratings of poor or fair in both those areas.

If, over the six-year period being considered, a faculty member has a rating of good or above in Teaching, one rating of poor in that area is offset.

If a faculty member is found to be potentially at risk, both the faculty member and the Dean will receive written notification. A second stage post-tenure performance review committee will then be created, unless the Dean recommends and the Senior Vice President and Provost approves a postponement of the post-tenure performance review because of faculty related extenuating circumstances (such as leave, health, or personal problems).

2.12.4 Second Stage Post-Tenure Performance Review

The guidelines for the formation of the second stage post-tenure performance review committee, the faculty development plan guidelines, and due process and grievance procedures are delineated in the University of Houston-Clear Lake Post-Tenure Performance Review Policy and Implementation Procedures Sections 1.3.2 - 1.3.4.

2.13 Policy for Web-Based Courses (3/24/99)

To provide quality learning opportunities in alternative formats, to increase accessibility to these opportunities, to maintain course quality and to ensure student accessibility to faculty, the following policy has been formulated:

2.13.1 Definitions:

Web-Based Instruction is defined as any course that has 51% or more of its content information delivered on-line.

Web-Enhanced Instruction is defined as the use of the web and/or alternative telecommunication technologies for educational purposes that includes or represents less than 51% of course content information.

2.13.2 Policy:

1. Faculty members engaged in teaching students with web-based instruction or web-enhanced instruction should ensure that there is no loss in academic integrity, including but not limited to, the reduction of content, feedback, or pedagogy.
2. Faculty members engaged in teaching students with web-based instruction or web-enhanced instruction should ensure that they are available to students at regularly scheduled office hours during each week of class.
3. Faculty members engaged in web-based courses are encouraged to schedule additional office hours as may be needed.
4. Faculty members engaged in teaching students with web-based instruction should respond to all student inquiries within one week during long semesters and within a couple of classes during short or compressed semesters. Detailed feedback on examinations and assignments may take up to two weeks during long semesters and effort should be made to provide detailed feedback as soon as possible during short or compressed semesters.
5. Faculty members engaged in teaching students with web-based instruction should establish alternative means for students to contact the instructor (in addition to electronic) and should publish such means in the course syllabus.
6. Faculty members engaged in teaching students with web-based instruction should publish alternative means for submitting course assignments in the course syllabus. Instructor may request submission in a particular method, with alternatives being provided as secondary means on a request basis. Alternative means include, but are not limited to: (a) posting on personal web-sites, (b) utilizing embedded tools (e.g., upload file from hard drive or disc), (c) personal email (with attachments), (d) fax, (e) regular mail, and (f) in person.
7. Faculty members who use web-enhanced instruction in lieu of one or more regularly scheduled classes should be available to students on campus during the regularly scheduled class times.

2.14 Graduate Faculty Definition (8/26/2004)

All faculty teaching graduate courses must meet the SACS minimum requirement for a terminal degree in their teaching discipline or related field. Faculty designated as graduate faculty must also be engaged in an ongoing program of research, scholarly, creative or professional activity as defined by each school's bylaws. Only members designated as graduate faculty are permitted to chair master's projects or theses or teach capstone courses.

In order to demonstrate an on-going agenda of research, scholarly, creative or professional activity, a faculty member must have at least one of the following in the past two academic years:

- One publication.
- A professional report, presentation, or product.
- An ongoing professional involvement with public school districts or other educational organizations.

2.15 Direction of Projects and Theses Policy (2/17/99)

Projects and theses should be directed by full-time, tenure track faculty with a terminal degree and graduate faculty status. The Chair/Supervisor, as well as additional committee members, should have the necessary expertise in the area of the project or thesis. Lecturers holding doctoral degrees may serve on project and thesis committees, but may not serve as project or thesis director.

3.0 ADMINISTRATION

3.1 Hiring

Regular appointments as Dean or Associate Dean will be filled through a national search for the best possible candidate, in accordance with university policy and affirmative action guidelines.

Appointments to administrative positions that carry academic rank, other than the above, including program-area chairs, and directors will be made by the Dean with appropriate input from the faculty, except that if the candidate does not already hold faculty rank, then faculty review of the academic qualifications of the candidate will take place as specified for regular academic appointments.

3.2 Roles and Responsibilities (8/12/03)

3.2.1 The Dean is the chief academic and administrative officer of the School of Education. All academic and administrative decisions and recommendations will be the prerogative of the Dean, including personnel appointments, budget, and academic policy, subject to the advisory roles of faculty and committees as described in this document. The Dean may delegate such decisions and recommendations as the Dean may deem appropriate and within the structure of existing University policy.

3.2.2 The Associate Dean is responsible for faculty, academic program, and research development, as well as for the administration of the academic, clinical, preschool and children's programs sponsored by the School. The Associate Dean is the academic planning officer for the School. The Associate Dean also is responsible for all student-related services, including development of the course schedule, registration and advisement. The Associate Dean serves as chief certification officer for the School of Education and is responsible for supervision of the CPDT and the Advisement and Certification Offices. In addition the Associate Dean has primary responsibility for alumni relations, student recruitment, and program marketing.

3.2.3 The two Program Area Chairs represent the following programs/rubrics: (a) Curriculum and Instruction consisting of Early Childhood Education; Geography; Literacy, Language and School Library and Information Science; Special Education; and Teacher Education, and (b) Foundations and Professional Studies consisting of Educational Management; Counseling; Foundations of Education; Instructional Technology; and Studies in Language and Culture. Program Area Chairs are responsible for coordination of the development of master, semester and summer session course schedules; review of Catalog information and Coordinating Board inventories; submission and approval of textbook requests; approval of internship and practicum applications; identification and assignment of adjunct faculty; approval of Independent Study proposals; scheduling and chairing meetings of the program area; provision of collegial support for full-time and adjunct faculty; participation in the interim and the annual review processes for untenured faculty, and the facilitation of program reviews, assessment and biennial plans, and curriculum and program development. Program Area Chairs are appointed by the Dean, in consultation with the Associate Dean and program area faculty. Program Area Chairs report to the Associate Dean. At the discretion of the Dean, Program Area Chairs may receive course releases and/or stipends in recognition of their added responsibilities.

3.2.4 There are ten Program Coordinators, one for each of the programs listed above. For their specific program, Program Coordinators carry out program leadership responsibilities including coordinating and developing three-year master course schedules and semester course schedules; identifying and assigning adjunct faculty; orienting and mentoring new and adjunct faculty; facilitating program reviews and curriculum development; reviewing Catalog information and the Coordinating Board inventories; facilitating the preparation of biennial and academic assessment plans; initiating periodic course reviews; organizing and chairing meetings of the program; ensuring that minutes of program meetings are taken and distributed; ensuring instructional materials are adequate for the program (including book orders); assisting in the development of marketing plans and materials; and communicating program information. Program Coordinators are appointed by the Dean in consultation with the Associate Dean, Program Area Chairs and program faculty. At the discretion of the Dean, Program Coordinators may receive a stipend in recognition of their added responsibilities.

3.2.5 The Director of the Center for Educational Programs (CEP) is responsible for all clinics and laboratories located in the Arbor Building operating in support of the academic programs, including counseling, mathematics education, reading, science education, and social studies education. The Director is also responsible for the Kids'U program, and coordinating workshops and seminars offered by faculty and staff within the School. In collaboration with the CPDT Director, the Director of the CEP is responsible for the CPDT professional development program. The Director is appointed by and reports to the Dean.

- 3.2.6 The Director of the Center for Professional Development of Teachers (CPDT) is responsible for the coordination of instructional activities, field experiences and placement in school settings, including observations and internships. In conjunction with the field experiences and internships, the Director is responsible for creating and maintaining the “Agreements of Affiliations” with all of the districts and agencies that these programs require. The Director also coordinates activities with and chairs the Teacher Center Executive Board. Additionally, the Director identifies, trains and supervises intern supervisors. The Director also serves as the intermediate step for appealing a grade associated with internships and withdrawal of a student from an internship. The Director reports to the Associate Dean.
- 3.2.7 The Coordinator of Educator Certification is responsible for all audits of Internship II, Graduate Internships, state examination authorization, and graduation and certification recommendations. The Coordinator monitors and ensures compliance with university, Texas Education Agency, State Board for Educator Certification, and Coordinating Board requirements for degrees, certificates and endorsements. This position is known as the Certification Officer. The Coordinator also is responsible for developing deficiency plans and maintaining student files and records pertaining to student degree and certification plans, and completion of programs. The Coordinator of Educator Certification serves as the Title II Manager and the Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Coordinator for the university. The Coordinator reports to the Associate Dean.
- 3.2.8 The Coordinator of Academic Advising is responsible for organizing and providing advisement for prospective and currently enrolled students. This includes evaluation of transcripts and preparation of degree/certification plans. The Coordinator of Academic Advising is responsible for communication with students regarding application, admission decisions, and degree and program plans. Additionally, the Coordinator of Academic Advising oversees publication of the student newsletter and acts as a liaison between the School of Education and other university offices as well as with community colleges. The Coordinator also is responsible for matters related to alumni, student recruitment and program marketing and provides administrative assistance to the School’s Student Affairs Committee and the Dean on scholarship awards. The Coordinator of Academic Advising reports to the Associate Dean.
- 3.2.9 The Senior Business Coordinator is responsible for monitoring expenditures in all accounts in the School of Education and for reconciling them with the monthly accounting reports; this individual reports to the Dean and will assist in planning, budgeting and assessing as requested.
- 3.2.10 The Coordinator of State Assessments is responsible for facilitating the process of candidate registration for the State Assessment tests. The Coordinator will house and distribute literature pertaining to State Assessments tests, including the registration bulletin, practice test information and study guides. The Coordinator is responsible for conducting reviews and practice tests periodically throughout the year as well as offering tutoring for the Pedagogy and Professional Responsibilities TExES tests. Additionally, the Coordinator maintains records the results of each State Assessment administration for each certification candidate. The Coordinator of State Assessments will communicate with UHCL faculty and staff, as well as National Evaluation Systems, Inc. (NES) and the State Board of Education (SBEC) to ensure accurate testing procedures and requirements. The Coordinator of State Assessments reports to the Associate Dean.

3.3 Evaluation

The Dean, acting within University policy, shall determine the procedures to be followed in the review of administrative personnel and decide merit salary allocation.

4.0 CURRICULUM

Matters of curriculum development and revision will follow the review process outlined in this document under section 5.0 Organization and Committees and 6.0 Governance and Academic Policies. In general the curriculum development and revision process within the School of Education will be initiated by faculty and reviewed as appropriate by the program areas, the Academic Review Committee, the Faculty As-a-Whole, and the Dean. The curricular content of individual courses is a matter of individual academic freedom, although guidelines for the content of courses may be established by programs.

5.0 ORGANIZATION AND COMMITTEES

5.1 Faculty As-a-Whole

The Faculty As-a-Whole shall function as the final academic review body recommending to the Dean, except that the faculty may delegate such authority as it may deem appropriate to individual faculty and faculty committees.

- 5.1.1 The Dean shall serve as Chair of the Faculty.
- 5.1.2 The faculty shall meet at least once each academic semester.
- 5.1.3 The faculty of the School of Education includes all persons holding tenure-track academic rank and employed full-time by the University. Others holding adjunct or visiting appointments and professional staff may attend faculty meetings in a non-voting status.
- 5.1.4 A quorum of the faculty shall be a simple majority of the voting members.
- 5.1.5 Meetings of the faculty shall be governed by Robert's Rules of Order.
- 5.2 Academic Review Committee (ARC)
 - 5.2.1 Serves in an advisory capacity to the Dean, Associate Dean and to the Faculty As-a-Whole.
 - 5.2.2 Examines total curriculum and judges the impact of proposed changes.
 - 5.2.3 Recommends approval/disapproval of: changes, additions, and deletions of programs and individual courses and on policy matters pertaining to admission, retention, dismissal; student teaching, internships, and field experiences; requirements for theses and projects; nontraditional curricular matters, e.g., advanced academic training, short courses, and workshops; and evaluation of students and grading.
 - 5.2.4 Recommends academic policy changes, e.g., changes to education core, admission/retention, internship/practica policies, changes/deletions of degrees, and other policy changes -- to the entire faculty. Changes such as course titles, numbers, and program requirements not impacting on School core can be forwarded to the Associate Dean for implementation and given to faculty as information items unless the faculty votes to request discussion of the change.
 - 5.2.5 The Academic Review Committee serves as the planning committee for the School.
 - 5.2.6 This committee will be composed of the two Program Area Chairs plus three additional members from each program area, such that no two faculty members are from the same academic program. Program area representatives will be selected by the faculty of the program area early in the fall semester to serve overlapping two-year terms; members shall serve from the time of election until replaced. (11/20/91)
- 5.3 Promotion and Tenure Committees
 - 5.3.1 Two promotion and tenure committees will be elected annually, one to serve as the promotion and tenure committee for faculty being considered for promotion or tenure at the rank of associate professor and the second to serve as the promotion and tenure committee for faculty being considered at the rank of full professor. For the purpose of the election of promotion and tenure committees, the School of Education shall be considered as a Faculty as-a-whole and the promotion and tenure committees shall be elected by the Faculty as-a-whole from among tenured associate and full professors for the Associate Professor Promotion and Tenure Committee and from among tenured full professors for the Full Professor Promotion and Tenure Committee. Members of the two Promotion and Tenure Committees will be elected in the spring semester of each year, for reviews that will take place the following year.
 - 5.3.2 Reviews candidate's credentials submitted for promotion and tenure and submits recommendations to the Dean supporting or not supporting promotion and tenure.
 - 5.3.3 Advises the Dean on matters of procedure for promotion and tenure, i.e., School specific faculty input, dossier preparation.
 - 5.3.4 Ensures timely submission of recommendation.
 - 5.3.5 Conducts third-year review of untenured candidates in accordance with promotion and tenure guidelines (Associate Professor Committee only).
 - 5.3.6 Conducts all tenure reviews as needed and ensures that there is consistency in criteria used (Associate Professor Committee only).

5.3.7 Conducts policy review as needed (Full Professor Committee only).

5.3.8 The Associate Professor Promotion and Tenure Committee will be elected for a two-year term; the first year to serve as the Promotion and Tenure Committee and the second year to serve as the Interim Review Committee.

5.4 Teacher Certification Council

5.4.1 Purpose

5.4.1.1 Responsible for the continuation and maintenance of the initial teacher certification programs including SBEC approved UHCL School of Education teacher education programs, teaching fields, delivery systems, and endorsements; alternative certification cooperative arrangements; and Graduate Teacher Certification. This council is not responsible for any degree programs nor for the content of courses except for validating that they meet mandated certification requirements.

5.4.1.2 Reviews, discusses implications, and informs School of Education faculty about State law, and State Board for Educator Certification and Coordinating Board actions and regulations.

5.4.1.3 Recommends to the Associate Dean and Program Area Chairs, and, if appropriate, to other schools, issues regarding course content, scheduling, sequencing, and implementation of the teacher certification programs. If academic policy changes are proposed in the School of Education, they are referred to the ARC following the school's policy approval process (5.2.4).

5.4.1.4 Recommends policies and procedures for admission to teacher education, pre-service Internships I and II, THECB Aide Project, and the Alternative Certification Program and monitors the implementation of those policies and procedures.

5.4.1.5 Recommends policies and procedures regarding standards for recommending candidates for initial teacher certificates within School of Education and state guidelines.

5.4.1.6 Reviews summary data from State Assessment scores, program evaluations of Pre-service Internships I and II, THECB Aide Project and the Alternative Certification Program experiences, and graduate follow-up data to report findings to appropriate bodies for program development discussions concerning revisions.

5.4.1.7 Reviews school applications to become a Professional Development School and recommends schools to the Dean for approval.

5.4.2 Membership (12/6/95 & 11/19/97)

The Teacher Certification Council consists of twenty-three (23) members who broadly represent the constituencies involved in preparing teachers for initial certification. Elected and appointed members serve two-year terms, such that half the members begin their terms in even years. The other half begin in odd years. The Council Chair will be a representative of his/her rubric from the School of Education. The Council Chair is elected to a one-year term. Representation will be from the following areas with the number shown in parentheses:

School of Education:

ECED(1)
 EDUC.....(2)
 INST.....(1)
 LLLS(1)
 SILC(1)
 SPED(1)
 TCED(2)

School of Human Sciences and Humanities.....(1)

School of Science and Computer Engineering(1)

School District/Community Representatives:

Administrators.....(4)

Teachers	(6)
Community	(2)

Ex-Officio/Non-Voting Members:

Associate Dean	(1)
Program Area Chairs	(2)
CPDT Director	(1)
Coordinator of Educator Certification	(1)
Coordinator Academic Advising	(1)
CEP Director	(1)
Coordinator of University Facilitators	(1)

- 5.4.3 The Teacher Certification Council will meet at least twice each semester. Summer and additional meetings will be called at the discretion of the TCC Chair.
- 5.4.4 The Teacher Certification Council will review by-laws annually during the last called meeting of the academic year.
- 5.5 Nominations and Elections Committee
- 5.5.1 Nominates faculty to be elected or recommended to School and University committees including the following committees: Student Affairs, Faculty Development, Nominations and Elections, and shared governance committees.
- 5.5.2 Presents the slate of candidates to the faculty and places on the ballot additional nominations from the floor at faculty meetings. The slate provided by the committee shall include more names than are to be elected.
- 5.5.3 Produces, distributes, and tallies ballots. Results of the election are sent to the Dean for distribution to the faculty, and ballots are transmitted to the Dean's office for filing and availability in the event of a challenge.
- 5.5.4 Representatives to this committee will be elected every fall for alternating two-year terms. The term of office will commence following election.
- 5.6 Student Affairs Committee
- 5.6.1 Establishes criteria for scholarships if not already determined by funding sources.
- 5.6.2 Publicizes the availability of scholarships for each semester (Fall, Spring, Summer).
- 5.6.3 Establishes an application procedure for scholarships.
- 5.6.4 Recommends scholarship awards and forwards these recommendations to the Dean for approval.
- 5.6.5 Advises the Dean in the acquisition of scholarship monies at the School level.
- 5.6.6 Assists the Dean in all matters pertaining to student activities, concerns, and sponsorship.
- 5.6.7 Conducts the grade grievance process at the School level in accordance with grievance procedures and makes recommendations to the Dean based upon its findings.
- 5.6.8 Reviews grade grievance and student policies and recommends changes as needed.
- 5.6.9 Hears other student grievances and makes a recommendation to the Dean based upon findings; the procedures for these grievances will follow the procedures for grade grievances to the extent applicable. (3/27/96)
- 5.6.10 Advises on all issues dealing with cheating, plagiarism, or any other form of student academic misconduct if required by the Dean or faculty.
- 5.6.11 Representatives to this committee will be elected every fall for alternating two-year terms.
- 5.7 Faculty Development Committee

- 5.7.1 Advises and assists the Dean in the continuing academic development of faculty.
- 5.7.2 Ensures timely publication of faculty development leave opportunities and deadlines for submission of requests as stated in policy guidelines. The Faculty Development Committee will communicate a call for proposals for the faculty development leave by the end of October of each academic year, indicating the exact deadline for submission (approximately December 1st) and the person who is to receive the proposals.
- 5.7.3 Conducts Faculty Development Leave evaluation yearly and submits to the Dean its prioritized recommendations.
- 5.7.4 Assists the Dean in planning and implementing faculty workshops, retreats or other learning opportunities.
- 5.7.5 Makes recommendations to the Dean regarding awards from the Faculty Development Fund (9/15/93)
- 5.7.6 Recommends policies and procedures for the Faculty Development Fund (9/15/93)
- 5.7.7 Assists and advises the Associate Dean regarding publication opportunities, research opportunities and other faculty academic opportunities.
- 5.7.8 Conducts policy review for Faculty Development Leaves and opportunities as required.
- 5.7.9 The majority of the representatives to this committee will be elected from among the tenured faculty of the School. Representatives will be elected every fall for alternating two-year terms. (9/15/93)
- 5.8 School of Education Sub-Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects (4/5/95, 10/16/96, 9/17/97)
 - 5.8.1 Three faculty members of the sub-committee will be appointed by the Associate Dean. The Chair will be the School of Education representative to the University CPHS. These appointments will be made in the fall of each year for two year terms. The Chair will maintain all records of actions of the sub-committee.
 - 5.8.2 Copies of CPHS applications and thesis/project packets may be obtained from the Associate Dean's secretary, B1231-9. Students or faculty members planning to conduct research projects involving human subjects must submit the CPHS application via e-mail to the Chair. All supporting materials (e.g., instruments, consent letters, school district approval letters) must also be submitted to the Chair, if possible via e-mail. In the case of student research, the student is to submit the application and all supporting materials to his/her faculty sponsor. It is the faculty sponsor's responsibility to submit the application and materials to the Chair.
 - 5.8.3 The Chair of the sub-committee will review the CPHS applications and all supporting materials in accordance with federal guidelines. All materials, as well as the Chair's decision, will be forwarded to the Office of Research Initiatives.

The Chair will notify the faculty member of the decision. The faculty member will then notify the student researcher, if appropriate. The Chair's decision may be to approve the application as is, approve with modifications, or to reject the application.
 - 5.8.4 Because of potential conflict of interest problems, the Chair will not review his/her own student's CPHS application. In the event that the Chair is advising a student's project or thesis, one member of the sub-committee will be asked to be a reviewer of the CPHS application.
 - 5.8.5 If the application lacks some documentation or has other problems that prevent its approval by the Chair, the Chair will request additional documentation or a response to the concerns. If the Chair requires corrections be made to the application or any of the related forms, the Chair will send written notification to the applicant (the applicant is considered to be the faculty member in the case of faculty research. In the case of student research, all communication will be between the Chair and the student's faculty sponsor. It is the faculty sponsor's responsibility to communicate with the student.) Corrections must be submitted within two weeks of receiving notification.
 - 5.8.6 When corrections have been made and returned to the Chair, the corrections will be reviewed. After review of the corrections, the Chair will decide to approve as is, approve with additional corrections or to reject the application. The faculty member or faculty sponsor (if the applicant is a student) is then sent written notification of these actions as described above. The faculty sponsor is responsible for notifying the student of the CPHS decision.

- 5.8.7 If the Chair deems it necessary, the Chair may request that the faculty applicant or faculty sponsor meet with the University CPHS committee or the full SoE sub-committee.
- 5.8.8 Applicants or faculty sponsors who wish to check on the status of their CPHS application should contact the Chair.
- 5.8.9 Conditional approval can be requested pending approval by a school district; however, school district approval must be submitted to the committee before final approval can be given. Applicants are responsible for notifying the Chair when school district approval is obtained, so final approval to begin research can be given.
- 5.8.10 This committee will operate within the guidelines established by the UHCL Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects. (4/5/95 & 7/23/01)
- 5.9 Ad Hoc Faculty Search Committees
 - 5.9.1 Assists the Dean and Associate Dean in the drafting and publication of faculty advertisements as positions are approved for hiring.
 - 5.9.2 Develops a time-line for review of documents, interviews and recommendations to the Dean for hiring.
 - 5.9.3 Performs reviews and interviews of candidate, and recommends to the Dean its priority for hiring.
 - 5.9.4 Ensures a proactive role for meeting affirmative action standards.
- 5.10 Faculty Research and Support Fund

A representative and an alternate will be appointed by the Dean in the fall of each year to represent the interests of the School and faculty on such matters as shall be brought before the FRSF Committee. The term of office shall commence with the date of appointment in the fall semester each year. A recommendation for appointment will be forwarded to the Dean as a result of faculty election.
- 5.11 Facilities and Resources Committee

A representative shall be elected by the faculty in the spring semester of every other year to represent the interests of the School and faculty on such matters as may be brought before the committee. The term of office shall commence in May and the representative shall serve a two-year term. The individual elected shall also serve as a representative to the Faculty Senate.
- 5.12 Educational Policies and Courses Committee

Two representatives shall be elected by the faculty, one in the spring semester of each year for a two-year term, to represent the interests of the School and faculty on such matters as may be brought before the committee. The term of office shall commence in May and the representative shall serve until May of the year after next. The individuals elected shall also serve as representatives to the Faculty Senate.
- 5.13 University Planning Committee

A representative shall be elected by the faculty in the spring semester of every other year to represent the interests of the School and faculty on such matters as may be brought before the committee. The term of office shall commence in May and the representative shall serve a two-year term. The individual elected shall also serve as a representative to the Faculty Senate.
- 5.14 University Life Committee

A representative shall be elected by the faculty in the spring semester of every other year to represent the interests of the School and faculty on such matters as may be brought before the committee. The term of office shall commence in May and the representative shall serve a two-year term. The individual elected shall also serve as a representative to the Faculty Senate.
- 5.15 University Research, Computing and Library Committee

A representative shall be elected by the faculty in the spring semester of every other year to represent the interests of the School and faculty on such matters as may be brought before the committee. The term of office shall commence in May and

the representative shall serve a two-year term. The individual elected shall also serve as a representative to the Faculty Senate.

5.16 Academic Honesty Committee

A representative and an alternate shall be elected in the fall semester of each year. The elected faculty member shall represent the interests of the School and faculty on such matters as may be brought before the committee.

5.17 Continuity in Office

In the event that elections and appointments are not concluded for any committee before the first meetings of these committees for the next term of office, those representatives holding office or appointment for the previous year will continue in those roles until new representatives are elected or appointed.

5.18 Vacancies

The Dean shall have the authority to appoint faculty members to fill out the remainder of terms of such vacancies as may occur on the committees described in this section.

5.19 Teacher Center Executive Board

The Teacher Center Executive Board is composed of one representative of each of the 23 participating school Districts. The Board shall establish its own policies and elect its own officers, but the CPDT Director shall serve as Chair of meetings of the Board. The Board advises the Associate Dean on matters pertaining to teacher education and field services and relations.

6.0 GOVERNANCE AND ACADEMIC POLICIES

6.1 Policy Formulation

6.1.1 Academic policy in the School of Education is established by the Dean upon recommendation of the faculty. Issues considered to be matters of academic policy are admissions criteria, requirements for academic programs, proposals for new courses and course revisions, course content, faculty hiring, faculty promotion and tenure, and faculty development leave.

6.1.2 Administrative policy in the School of Education is the prerogative of the Dean.

6.2 Catalog

The Catalog is the official document pertaining to academic programs within the School of Education.

6.3 Master's Option Guidelines

The Master's Option Guidelines booklet is considered to be a supplement to the UHCL Catalog concerning academic policy related to master's degree options and guidelines for master's internships, theses and projects.

6.4 Academic Governance

6.4.1 The faculty of the School of Education shall be the official recommending body pertaining on matters of academic policy. The faculty of the School may delegate to faculty committees such matters as they deem appropriate, except that the Dean has the option of referring matters to the Faculty As-a-whole.

6.4.2 The Dean shall serve as Chair of the faculty and shall call meetings of the faculty. The Dean shall designate a Chair in the event of his or her absence from the meeting.

6.4.3 The Secretary to the Dean shall also serve as secretary to the faculty, preparing the agenda and minutes for the meetings and as a contact person for placing matters on the agenda. The Secretary shall maintain a permanent file of the minutes of meetings of the faculty.

6.4.4 Items may be placed on the agenda for faculty meetings by the Dean, the Chair of any Faculty Committee, or at the request of a full-time faculty member.

7.0 STUDENT AFFAIRS

7.1 Admissions

7.1.1 Teacher Certification

The admission to Teacher Education is separate from that of admission to the University. Admission to the Teacher Education program is contingent upon the following:

- 7.1.1.1 achieving certain minimum passing scores on each of the three parts of the Texas Higher Education Assessment (THEA): Reading-260, Writing-230, and Mathematics-230; or having earned the equivalent of a U.S. master's or doctoral degree.
- 7.1.1.2 achieving a grade of "C-" or better in a college-level Public Speaking course or submitting a Speech Competency form signed by a UHCL instructor who has observed the public speaking skills of the student.
- 7.1.1.3 completing the non-credit "ABC Workshop;"
- 7.1.1.4 achieving grades of "C-" or better on the prerequisite courses: EDUC 4130, Theories of Educational Psychology (or the Field of Study Child and Lifespan Development Course), and SILC 4135, Theories of American Pluralism and INST 3133, Computer Use in Classrooms; the graduate equivalents of these courses may be substituted, as approved by the student's advisor;
- 7.1.1.5 submitting an application for admission to Teacher Education and having that application approved.
- 7.1.1.6 applying online at <http://www.sbec.state.tx.us/> and creating a user account with the State Board for Education Certification.
- 7.1.1.7 The final authority for admission and retention of any applicant resides with the Dean of the School of Education and the Certification Officer.

7.1.2 Graduate Study

All students applying for master's degree or professional certification programs in the School of Education must submit either Graduate Record Examination (GRE) or Miller's Analogy Test (MAT) scores. The GRE or MAT, however, is not required of any candidate (except those wishing to pursue a Counseling plan) who already holds a master's or doctoral degree upon entry to the plan.

Students with a baccalaureate degree from an accredited university who have obtained a 3.000 (B) or greater grade point average in the last 60 semester hours of coursework may be admitted to the master's degree and professional certification programs. Students already holding a master's degree will be admitted to Professional Certification programs, if criteria other than grade point average are met.

Students with a GPA of 2.500 or above but less than 3.000 will be admitted as follows:

- 7.1.2.1 to non-degree objective (NDO) for provisional (initial) level teacher certification or to add a teaching field.
- 7.1.2.2 to master's degree or professional certification programs by obtaining;
 - (a) a combined score of 900 or greater on the quantitative and verbal portions of the Graduate Records Examination (GRE) and a 3.5 or greater on the analytical writing portion of the GRE, or
 - (b) a score of 36 or better on the Miller's Analogy Test (MAT).
- 7.1.2.3 Students with less than a 3.000 GPA in the last 60 semester hours that do not meet the required score on the GRE or MAT or students with less than a 2.500 GPA in the last 60 semester hours generally will not be admitted to master's degree or professional certification programs, except as sponsored admissions. A full-time faculty member may sponsor four students a year for admission to a master's program or

professional certification program. To be considered for sponsored admission, a student must have submitted a GRE or MAT score, but no minimum score requirements are specified. The faculty member's recommendation for sponsorship will be based on consideration of the student's previous academic record, standardized test scores, leadership potential, professional experiences, and such other factors as the individual faculty member may deem as predictive of potential success in a graduate program at the University of Houston-Clear Lake. The sponsoring faculty member will forward the admission recommendation and justification to the Associate Dean who will decide on the student's admission. To continue in the program, following sponsored admission, the student must maintain an overall grade point of 3.000 in all coursework taken. In sponsoring a student, the faculty member agrees to provide advisement support for the student to enhance the likelihood of success in the student's academic program. The sponsorship year begins with the fall semester of each academic year.

7.1.2.4 Additional admissions criteria may be considered by individual programs.

7.2 Advisor Assignments

- 7.2.1 Students seeking an undergraduate degree in the School of Education are assigned to an Education Academic Advisor who will prepare their degree and/or certification plan. Students seeking an academic degree outside of the School of Education will have two advisors – the Education Academic Advisor who will assist the student with School of Education courses and procedures, and an academic area advisor from the degree granting school will prepare the Candidate Plan of Studies (CPS). Degree and Certification plans are not official until signed by the Associate Dean.
- 7.2.2 Graduate students admitted to a master's degree or professional certification program will be assigned a faculty advisor. The faculty advisor meets with the graduate school candidate to discuss the student's educational goals, review transcripts and prepare a CPS. The CPS and certification plans are not official until signed by the Associate Dean.
- 7.2.3 Non-degree objective (NDO) students seeking initial teacher certification, additional certification, academic teaching field endorsement/supplemental certificate or level will be assigned an Education Academic Advisor. NDO students seeking EC-4 Generalist certification will be assigned a faculty advisor.

7.3 Student Files

7.3.1 Origin

The registrar provides the School of Education with the following information: (1) Transcript Evaluation Summary, (2) copies of all transcripts, and (3) copy of Application for Admission. Based on this information, a file is prepared.

7.3.2 Contents

- 7.3.2.1 Student files contain correspondence pertaining to academic affairs, Degree/Certification Plan, any documentation regarding Degree/Certification Plan (i.e. Change in Degree/Certification Plan, Permit letters etc.), and test scores (State Assessment, THEA, GRE, etc.).
- 7.3.2.2 If a student's UHCL transcripts demonstrate no enrollment activity in a five year period, the student's file will be destroyed; however the files of students who have been recommended by UHCL for certification, will be archived after five years of no enrollment activity.

7.3.3 Policies

To insure the privacy of each individual, certain policies have been established.

- 7.3.3.1 Files are not to leave the School of Education Office (Suite 1231) for any reason.
- 7.3.3.2 No copies are to be made of any transcripts for any purpose.
- 7.3.3.3 Students have the privilege of reviewing their file with an advisor during a walk-in or appointment time. However, they cannot remove any item(s) from their file. All information is University (State) property.

7.3.3.4 Copies of the following items can be made for students:

- a. Degree/Certification Plans
- b. Change in Degree/Certification Plan forms
- c. Off Campus Course Request Form
- d. Correspondence to or from the student
- e. Deficiency Plans
- f. Verification of Teaching Experience
- g. Recommendation letters, if recommending party has agreed.

7.3.3.5 No information concerning an individual's grades, test scores, etc. is to be given out over the phone.

7.3.3.6 The information listed above may not be provided to anyone but the student or School of Education faculty and staff, as needed in their official roles.

7.4 Degree Plans

7.4.1 When a file has been established and the student's academic interest has been determined, a Degree/Certification Plan is prepared. Steps involved are as follows:

7.4.1.1 Transcripts are evaluated for transfer courses by the Advisor.

7.4.1.2 For undergraduate 4 – 8 and 8 – 12 certification students outside of the School of Education, a copy of the degree plan is forwarded to the School of Education.

7.4.1.3 The Candidate Plan of Study (CPS) will be approved for typing by Academic Advising.

7.4.1.4 Each Plan is typed and the final copy is approved by Academic Advising.

7.4.1.5 Information is entered in an Academic Advising database.

7.4.1.6 The final copy of the CPS is approved by the advisor.

7.4.1.7 The student's signature is obtained, and a copy is provided to the student, the advisor and the student file.

7.4.1.8 The CPS is approved and signed by the Associate Dean.

7.4.2 If any changes are made at the request of the student or the advisor, they must be submitted on the appropriate form for approval by the advisor and Academic Advising. A copy is sent to the student, and the original is retained in the student file. Changes are indicated on the CPS.

7.4.3 For undergraduate degree-seeking and certification students, course substitution forms are approved by Academic Advising. For graduate degree-seeking and certification requiring a masters degree students, course substitution forms are approved by the advisor and Academic Advising. Approval should be obtained prior to registration for the course in question (it can take 2 – 3 weeks for the approval). The original is retained in the student file, and a copy is forwarded to the student. Typically, student requests to take off-campus courses during the semester of graduation will not be approved.

7.4.4 Academic Record Changes for students already admitted to the School of Education, or coming into the School of Education are reviewed and signed by Academic Advising.

7.5 Internship II Eligibility Audits

Audits for Internship II eligibility are prepared by Academic Advising. Candidate Plans of Study are checked against transcripts, and grades are recorded accordingly. Information reviewed includes, among other criteria: hours remaining, GPA in Area(s) of Specialization (minimum 2.500) and Professional Development (minimum 3.000). Audit reports are mailed to students.

7.6 State Assessments (8/12/03)

- 7.6.1 Assessments have been developed by SBEC for each educator certificate. The assessments include the Texas Examinations of Educator Standards (TExES), Texas Examination of Master Teachers (TExMaT) and Texas Oral Proficiency Test (TOPT). A certificate candidate must successfully pass any required State Assessment(s) and complete all other requirements of a program before being recommended by UHCL for the certificate.

7.6.2 Test Determination

To determine which State Assessment(s) may be required of a certificate, candidates should check their degree or certification plan, view the SOE bulletin board outside of B-1231, check the catalog section of their plan, or ask the State Assessments Coordinator, an SOE Academic Advisor or Faculty Advisor.

7.6.3 Eligibility Verification

The State Assessments Coordinator determines candidates' eligibility to take the assessments. All candidates may register to take their State Assessments on any of the test administration dates under the following conditions:

1. Candidates must have an approved degree or certification plan signed by the Associate Dean (or a deficiency plan signed by the Certification Officer) on file in the School of Education that matches the State Assessment(s) for which they are registering.
2. Teaching certificate candidates must also be formally admitted to the Teacher Education Program.
3. Teaching certificate candidates must also be enrolled in or have completed a semester in the course, EDUC 4010: Professional Examination Preparation.

Beginning Fall 2002, all endorsements, supplemental certificates, Master Teacher certificates and certificates requiring a master's degree in the School of Education include the course, EDUC 5010: Preparation for Professional Examinations (ADSU 5010 for Principal and Superintendent certificate candidates or COUN 5010 for School Counselor candidates). If these candidates do not pass all State Assessments by the start of their final semester they must enroll in and complete the appropriate 5010 course.

7.6.4 Test Registration

Candidates who meet the above qualifications may register for the State Assessments by filling in the appropriate registration form and turning it in with the stamped, return-addressed envelope and test payment to SOE in Suite B-1231 by UHCL's posted deadlines. Registration forms are available outside of B-1231.

7.6.5 Test Preparation

Free preparation manuals and study guides are available online at the following websites:
<http://www.texas.nesinc.com/> and <http://www.StateAssessment.nesinc.com/>

UHCL provides all candidates with the following items to prepare them for the State Assessments:

1. State Assessments Resource booklet. This document has over 50 pages of helpful hints and useful suggestions on passing the State Assessments. It is available for free from the State Assessments Coordinator or on-line at:
http://soe.cl.uh.edu/TexES/TexES_Resource_EC-4.pdf
http://soe.cl.uh.edu/TexES/TexES_Resource_4-8.pdf
http://soe.cl.uh.edu/TexES/TexES_Resource_8-12.pdf
2. State Assessment Review Sessions. There are periodic reviews held by the Office of the Coordinator of State Assessments for teaching certificate candidates. Each teaching certificate review session is timed to precede an administration of a practice assessment that, in turn, precedes an administration of a State Assessment. Faculty hold reviews for many of the other certificates. For review information, candidates are to contact B1231.
3. Practice Assessments. UHCL provides candidates with the opportunity to take any practice assessments that have been released by SBEC. Contact the Coordinator of State Assessments for further information on which practice assessments are available and how to register for them. The courses EDUC 4010, EDUC 5010,

ADSU 5010 and COUN 5010 include taking the appropriate practice assessment(s) (if available) and participating in a score analysis of the practice assessment to pinpoint the areas of needed improvement.

4. Free Tutoring. The State Assessments Coordinator works with candidates to help prepare them for the State Assessment(s). Those candidates completing a certificate requiring a master's degree should contact their faculty advisor for tutoring information.

7.7 Graduation Procedures

- 7.7.1 Applications for Graduation are received by the Certification Office from the Registrar around the middle of the semester. Files are pulled and matched with copies of UHCL transcripts.
- 7.7.2 Transcripts are checked against degree plans by the Certification Office and applications are either given a "preliminary approval pending completion of ...", or graduation is denied. A copy is sent to the student.
- 7.7.3 When final grades are in, applications are once again evaluated - this time for final approval. If an application is denied, a letter is generated by the Certification Office notifying the student.
- 7.7.4 The Certification Officer enters all degree approvals and denials into the PeopleSoft system by the Office of Academic Records designated deadline and contacts that office to notify them of completion.
- 7.7.5 Pertinent information on all graduates is entered on a database for statistical purposes.
- 7.7.6 Graduation without Internships I and II
 - 7.7.6.1 Students seeking a baccalaureate degree in the School of Education may graduate without completing Internships I and II if the student: (1) is moving from the geographic area and UHCL is unable to supervise the internship, (2) no longer wants to pursue a teacher certification program, (3) is a student eligible to enter the UHCL ACP in EC-4 Bilingual Generalist, EC-4 Generalist with EC-12 Special Education, 4-8 Generalist, 4-8 Mathematics, 4-8 Science, 8-12 Life Science or 8-12 Mathematics, or (4) is a THECB aide approved into the State's exemption program.
 - 7.7.6.2 Students must complete all semester hours outlined on their Candidate Plan of Study with the exception of Internships I and II and must complete 12 hours of approved advised UHCL courses to replace the internships. These 12 hours of coursework will be calculated within the GPA for their Professional Development requirements. The students must have at least the minimum grade point average required on his/her Candidate Plan of Study. The students must meet all university requirements for graduation and officially apply through the Office of Enrollment Services within the first three weeks of the term in which they plan to graduate.
 - 7.7.6.3 For the reasons cited above, students must sign a waiver indicating their understanding that: (1) they do not meet certification requirements, (2) a Deficiency Plan will not be prepared by UHCL for potential employment, (3) they are officially withdrawing from the Teacher Education Program at UHCL without completing Internships I and II, and (4) in the future, UHCL will not recommend them for their initial teacher certification. THECB Aides and approved ACP students may, however, sign a different waiver that will allow them to complete their certification by completing the THECB Aide Exemption Project or ACP program. The waiver will be signed by the student, the Director of the Center for Professional Development of Teachers, and the Certification Officer; each of whom will receive a copy. Only a student signature is needed on the THECB waiver.

7.8 Deficiency Plans

- 7.8.1 A Deficiency Plan is a state form used by school districts when hiring a non-certified teacher who holds a bachelor's degree or higher from an accredited university and is appropriate for that purpose only. Deficiency Plans are prepared only in the Certification Office. Due to changes in federal and state laws, only certain students are eligible to apply for a deficiency plan. Students should see the Office of Academic Advising for specific information.
- 7.8.2 The charge for the Deficiency Plan is \$60.00 and should be attached to the application along with official transcripts from all previously attended institutions of higher education. The cost for update plans is \$10.00. No refunds will be made.

- 7.8.3 All transcripts are evaluated by the Certification Officer and a Deficiency Plan is prepared on the appropriate state form. All transcripts which are received for the purpose of preparing a Deficiency Plan are considered University property, and cannot be copied or returned to the student under any circumstances.
- 7.8.4 The original Deficiency Plan is sent to the school district designated on the application. One copy is sent to the applicant, and a copy is retained in the student's file.
- 7.8.5 Information pertaining to the Deficiency Plan is entered on a database for Certification Office purposes.
- 7.8.6 Files are prepared for those applicants who are not currently enrolled in the School of Education.
- 7.9 Teacher Certification Procedure
 - 7.9.1 During fall and spring semesters a meeting is scheduled for students who are completing initial certification requirements through Internship II, THECB Project or ACP. SBEC Online instructions are distributed to assist students with the application process. For those students who do not attend the meeting, information is also provided in the School of Education newsletter, the educator, about application for certification.
 - 7.9.2 Students apply for certification online on the SBEC website after they have met all requirements of their program.
 - 7.9.3 Once the candidate applies, the Certification Officer checks their Certification Plans against transcripts to be certain all certification requirements have been met.
 - 7.9.4 The Certification Officer enters the necessary information to SBEC Online and then recommends each candidate online. SBEC then e-mails the candidate with the status of completion and later mails the candidate a hard copy certificate.
 - 7.9.6 Information is entered into a database to be used by the Coordinator of Educator Certification to facilitate retrieval and analysis.
- 7.10 Internships I and II
 - 7.10.1 Procedures for Application for Internships I and II

Students must apply for Internships I and II through the Center for Professional Development of Teachers (CPDT, 1231 Bayou Building) and meet application deadlines of October 1 for spring internships and March 1 for fall interns. Internships I and II are not offered in the summer.

Minimum prerequisites for Internships I and II are:

 - 7.10.1.1 Formal admission to Teacher Education Program
 - 7.10.1.2 A minimum grade point average of 3.000 in Professional Development courses
 - 7.10.1.3 A minimum grade point average of 2.500 in teaching specialization fields
 - 7.10.1.4 For those certifications requiring TCED 4233, successful completion of MATH 3032; certifications requiring TCED 4333, successful completion of MATH 3037
 - 7.10.1.5 Application received by specified deadline (see above for deadlines)
 - 7.10.1.6 Only 6 hours (which cannot include any Professional Development coursework or any course which involves a field experience) beyond Internship II may remain on the student's approved degree/certification plan.
 - 7.10.2 UHCL Students Requesting to Enroll for Internship I or II at Other Institutions
 - 7.10.2.1 Requests are considered on their individual merits.
 - 7.10.2.2 Students must submit a written request to the Associate Dean stating the reasons for taking Internship I or II outside of UHCL's jurisdiction. This request must be submitted by August 15 for Spring Semester

and by February 15 for Fall Semester student teaching. Students will receive a written response from the Associate Dean.

7.10.2.3 Only programs which equal UHCL's program quality and credit requirements will be considered.

7.10.3 THECB Aide Project Course TCED 4737

According to Texas HB 1130, an educational aide may apply to the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) to be a part of their "Educational Aide Exemption Program." Once approved by THECB, the student may then be allowed by a university to be exempt from Internships I/II. This course is designed to be part of the 12 additional hours in coursework needed for graduation and certification. The grade will be calculated into the GPA for the professional development courses. It is a 5 week course that adheres to the employing district's instructional day and continuous for the entire 5 weeks. The experience must be done in a public school setting and on the level and certification that the student is seeking.

Minimum prerequisites for THECB Aide Project are:

1. Must have been admitted into the Teacher Education Program
2. Must have been accepted into THECB exemption program for at least one semester within 5 years prior to start of TCED 4737
3. Must sign Internships I/II waiver and submit it to School of Education before enrolling in the final year of degree plan.
4. Schools and Society course, pedagogy courses and LLLS 4434 must be satisfactorily completed prior to or taken concurrently with TCED 4737.
5. For those certifications requiring TCED 4233, successful completion of MATH 3032; certification requiring TCED 4333, successful completion of MATH 3037.
6. Minimum 2.500 in teaching specialization and 3.000 in Professional Development course work.
7. A minimum of 2.500 overall GPA. This overall GPA includes all course work from all collegiate institutions attended. For purposes of THECB eligibility, Professional Development course work cannot be included in the overall GPA.
8. All application materials due to CPDT Office by March 1 (for summer). A completed audit/planning guide, signed Internships I/II waiver, Verification of Teaching Experience Form and letter of acceptance from THECB indicating that the student is qualified for the program must be attached to application.
9. THECB Aide Project TCED 4737 is offered only during the first 5 weeks of the summer session.
10. Applicant must seek own placement with one of UHCL member districts at the level and certification the applicant is seeking.

7.10.3.1 Withdrawal with Satisfactory Progress

During THECB Aide Exemption Project, students may wish to withdraw for personal or other reasons. In this case, the student is to inform his/her university supervisor and the CPDT Director that he/she is voluntarily withdrawing from the course. If, according to the university supervisor, the student's progress is satisfactory, then the student is to:

1. withdraw through the Office of the Registrar prior to the deadline stated in the Academic Calendar;
2. return all school or university materials; and
3. meet with the Director of the CPDT to discuss readmission into course.

The Director of the CPDT will confirm in writing to the school personnel and the university supervisor that the student has withdrawn.

7.10.3.2 Withdrawal or Dismissal with Unsatisfactory Progress

If a student is making unsatisfactory progress, the student may voluntarily withdraw, or the public school representative or School of Education representative, based on their observations or evaluations, may request the student's withdrawal by contacting the Director of the CPDT. An oral request should be followed by a written statement to the CPDT Director stating the reasons for the request.

Unsatisfactory progress includes but is not limited to:

1. behavior that exhibits a candidate's prejudice toward children, school personnel, or others because of race, gender, age, national origin or handicapping condition;
2. behavior that is physically or verbally threatening to children, public school personnel or School of Education personnel;
3. behavior that demonstrates refusal of an Internship student to fulfill the requirements of the course as set out by the program, a public school and its personnel, and/or the university supervisor;
4. behavior that does not enhance or that negatively affects a child's ability to learn;
5. behavior that indicates to the professional educators involved that an Internship student does not have the attitude, commitment, personal attributes, disposition, or skills to successfully complete the program and be recommended for certification;
6. behavior that is in violation of the Code of Ethics and Standard Practices for Texas Educators or the UHCL Student Policy Handbook.

Should the student withdraw or be dismissed due to unsatisfactory progress, he/she is to return all materials belonging to the school district and UHCL within 5 days of the withdrawal or dismissal. He/she is also required to meet with the Director of the Center for Professional Development of Teachers as soon as a conference can be arranged. The purpose of the conference is to confirm the withdrawal or dismissal, ascertain that all materials have been returned, and request that the student officially withdraw through the Registrar's office from TCED 4737. If the withdrawal or dismissal occurs after the published date to withdraw without penalty, the Director will notify the Associate Dean to request an administrative withdrawal from all semester courses in which the student is registered.

7.10.4 Students From Other Universities Requesting Enrollment in Student Teaching at UHCL ("Remote" Student Teachers)

Because there is considerable variance in student teacher programs across the state and in order to maintain the integrity of each program, it is believed that the home university should have control over placement, experiences, evaluations, and other requirements when recommending certification for students. Additionally, it is believed that permission to student teach away from the home university should be given only for extenuating circumstances, such as family illness or spousal/parent transfers. Financial need is not considered as a valid reason for granting permission for a "remote" student teaching placement.

7.10.4.1 Application process for permission to complete a remote teaching placement at UHCL:

1. Student must be in good standing with home university and eligible to enroll in student teaching
2. The student should approach the UHCL CPDT to request to have "remote" placement and to learn if there is a possibility he/she would be accepted
3. If they receive an affirmative answer, he/she must have their home university send a letter to the Center for Professional Development of Teachers (CPDT) Director containing the following information:
 - Level and content of the placement
 - Preferred local ISD
 - Length of experience
 - A statement that student and the home university agree that:
 - The student will register at the home university.

- The UHCL university supervisor will work with the home university using their materials.
 - The student will follow the academic UHCL schedule.
 - The student will be recommended for certification by the home university.
4. The application process must be completed by March 1 for fall student teaching and October 1 for spring student teaching. Late applications will not be accepted.

7.10.4.2 Upon Acceptance into “Remote Student Teacher” Program:

The visiting “remote” student teacher will sign a Statement of Agreement with the UHCL School of Education stating that he/she understands and agrees to the following conditions:

- The visiting teacher will supply the University Supervisor with all necessary materials and will agree to pay \$700 to UHCL. The fee will be split with \$200 used for administrative costs and \$500 paid to the University Supervisor. The student will pay the University Supervisor for mileage at the current State reimbursement rate.
- The visiting teacher will forfeit all money if he/she withdraws or is dismissed before the semester is complete.

7.10.5 Withdrawal or Dismissal from Internship I or II (October, 1996)

The UHCL School of Education supports and anticipates success for all Internships I and II students. However, should problems arise in completion of this important capstone experience, the following procedures will guide the withdrawal and readmission process.

7.10.5.1 Withdrawal with Satisfactory Progress

During Internships I and II programs, students may wish to withdraw for personal or other reasons. In this case, the student is to inform his/her university supervisor and the CPDT Director that he/she is voluntarily withdrawing from Internship I or II. If, according to the university supervisor, the student's progress is satisfactory, then the student is to:

1. withdraw from Internship I or II through the Office of the Registrar prior to the deadline stated in the Academic Calendar;
2. return all school or university materials; and
3. meet with the Director of the CPDT to discuss continuation in Internship I or II.

The Director of the CPDT will confirm in writing to the school personnel and the university supervisor that the student has withdrawn.

7.10.5.2 Withdrawal or Dismissal with Unsatisfactory Progress

If an Internship I or II student is making unsatisfactory progress, the Internship I or II student may voluntarily withdraw, or the public school representative or School of Education representative, based on their observations or evaluations, may request the Internship student's withdrawal by contacting the Director of the CPDT. An oral request should be followed by a written statement to the CPDT Director stating the reasons for the request.

Unsatisfactory progress includes but is not limited to:

1. behavior that exhibits a candidate's prejudice toward children, school personnel, or others because of race, gender, age, national origin or handicapping condition;
2. behavior that is physically or verbally threatening to children, public school personnel or School of Education personnel;
3. behavior that demonstrates refusal of an Internship student to fulfill the requirements of the Internship as set out by the program, a public school and its personnel, and/or the university supervisor;
4. behavior that does not enhance or that negatively affects a child's ability to learn;

5. behavior that indicates to the professional educators involved that an Internship student does not have the attitude, commitment, personal attributes, disposition, or skills to successfully complete the program and be recommended for certification;
6. behavior that is in violation of the Code of Ethics and Standard Practices for Texas Educators or the UHCL Student Policy Handbook.

Should the Internship I or II student withdraw or be dismissed due to unsatisfactory progress, he/she is to return all materials belonging to the school district and UHCL within 5 days of the withdrawal or dismissal. He/she is also required to meet with the Director of the Center for Professional Development of Teachers as soon as a conference can be arranged. The purpose of the conference is to confirm the withdrawal or dismissal, ascertain that all materials have been returned, and request that the student officially withdraw through the Registrar's office from Internship I or II. If the withdrawal or dismissal occurs after the published date to withdraw without penalty, the Director will notify the Associate Dean to request an administrative withdrawal from all semester courses in which the student is registered.

7.10.6 Readmission to Internship I or Internship II Following Withdrawal or Dismissal with Unsatisfactory Progress. (October, 1996)

The following procedures apply to requests for readmission to Internship I or II:

1. If the student wishes to appeal the decision and be readmitted to Internship I or II, the student is to notify the Associate Dean in writing. The letter to the Associate Dean initiating the formal appeal process must reach the Associate Dean within forty-five days of the withdrawal date.
2. The student's letter to the Associate Dean must include a rationale for the appeal. Supporting documentation may be appended.
3. The Director of the Center for Professional Development of Teachers will be requested to submit rationale and/or documentation upon which the withdrawal or dismissal was based.
4. The Associate Dean will make a decision and reply in writing to the student.
5. The student may appeal the decision of the Associate Dean by submitting a written appeal to the Dean within fourteen days of the receipt of the Associate Dean's reply.
6. The Dean will forward the letter of appeal and all documentation received by the Associate Dean to the chairperson of the Appeals Committee. The student has the option to meet with the committee.
 - a) The chairperson of the Appeals Committee will notify the student and the Director of the CPDT of receipt of the appeal and inform the student of his/her right to meet with the Committee. If the student wishes to meet with the committee, he/she must submit written notification to the Chair of the Committee within seven days of notification of receipt of the appeal by the Committee Chairperson.
 - b) If the student does not request to meet with the committee, the committee will make a recommendation to the Dean within 21 days of notification of the student of receipt of the appeal.
 - c) The Chair will make arrangements for both the student and the Director of the CPDT to appear before the committee. Both the student and the Director may present their side of the case, along with supporting documentation and either may call witnesses. Both parties are permitted to bring an advisor to the meeting, however, the advisors cannot speak on behalf of either party. Neither party can be present during the deliberations of the committee. The Committee will submit a recommendation to the Dean within 21 days of notification of the student of receipt of the appeal.
7. The Dean's decision regarding the student's readmission to Internship I or II is final.

7.10.7 Readmission to Internship I Following Withdrawal or Dismissal with Unsatisfactory Progress After One Long Term

1. If a student withdraws or is dismissed with unsatisfactory progress from either Internship I or Internship II, then the student may re-admitted to that Internship, but not until at least one long term has passed since the withdrawal or dismissal.
2. The student submits the petition to the Director of the Center for Professional Development of Teachers.
3. The petition should contain at least the following: a) a statement of events which have occurred in the intervening year which would rectify the causes for the withdrawal or dismissal, b) a statement explaining why the student is now prepared to successfully complete the Internship and c) other information the student feels impacts on the decision.
4. The Director of the CPDT will issue the decision as to a) whether the student will be admitted to the Internship and b) if admitted, the start date of the Internship to which the student is admitted.
5. If admitted, the student is subject to all the rules and regulations of the Internship.

7.11 Procedures for Application for the Alternative Certification Program

Students must apply for the Alternative Certification Program through the Center for Professional Development of Teachers (CPDT, Bayou Building 1231) by the stated application deadlines on informational sheet and application forms. Students are encouraged to submit their application after attending an informational meeting. ACP Internships may begin with the start of the public school calendar in the fall or spring semester.

7.11.1 Minimum prerequisites for ACP are:

1. An earned bachelor's or higher degree from a regionally accredited institution by the previous May if entering in the fall and by the previous August if entering in the spring.
2. A minimum of 2.500 overall grade point average that includes all coursework from all colleges and universities attended.
3. Attend an Informational Meeting in fall or spring before admission.
4. Pass the TExES content area state assessment in area of the certification being sought.
5. Submission of the ACP application by the stated deadlines on the information sheet and application forms. All transcripts from all colleges and/or universities must be attached to applications. There is a \$60.00 application fee and \$82.00 TExES fee for each state assessment to be taken. All fees are non-refundable and \$45.00 fee is charge for changing the application once submitted (this does not include personal data). Applications must be submitted in person.
6. Completion of audit indicating the student is qualified for the program.
7. Candidates should not seek employment with a school district until they have received an approved audit and letter from the CPDT office indicating that they have qualified for the ACP program.

7.11.2 Qualified applicants:

1. Contact Career and Counseling Services regarding a credential file, if one wishes to take part in on-campus job interviews.
2. Apply for teaching positions with UHCL School of Education member districts. The School of Education does not assist in obtaining ACP internship placement.
3. Have a signed contract or commitment for full-time employment in an approved specialization with a School of Education member school district by last day of late registration for fall or spring. Bring the commitment to hire letter to the CPDT office in order to be admitted to the ACP program. The teaching position must match the level and area of certification program currently offered by UHCL and being sought by the applicant. The teaching position cannot include sponsorship of extra curricular activities (e.g. coaching, cheerleading, theater) or teaching content outside of the teaching specialization.

4. Upon acceptance into the ACP program the student must sign a contract stating that student understands and accepts the costs and procedures of the program.

7.11.3 Costs of the ACP Program include:

1. A non-refundable fee deducted from the intern's first year salary. This fee supports the intern's release time, public school mentor, and the university supervisor. The fee is currently set at \$3000, but is subject to change.
2. If the intern requires a second or third year to complete coursework for certification, an additional \$900 for each semester will be deducted from the intern's salary. This fee is non-refundable.
3. All graduate tuition, fees and other costs for required university coursework associated with the completion of the ACP Program.
4. There is a cost of \$52.00 for each Probationary Certification required and the first year \$47.00 will be charged for fingerprinting required by the State of Texas.

7.12 Withdrawal or Dismissal from Alternative Certification Internship Program

7.12.1 Withdrawal with Satisfactory Progress.

To withdraw from the ACP Internship program students must adhere to the public school procedures for resignation as well as inform his/her university supervisor that he/she is voluntarily withdrawing. If, according to the university supervisor, the intern's progress is satisfactory, then the intern is to:

- 7.12.1.1 Meet with the Director of the CPDT so that the Director can ascertain that all materials have been returned and formally request that the student officially withdraw through the Office of Enrollment Services.
- 7.12.1.2 Return all School or University materials.
- 7.12.1.3 Withdraw from the ACP program through the Office of Enrollment Services prior to the deadline stated in the Academic Calendar. If the withdrawal occurs after the published date to withdraw without penalty, the CPDT Director will notify the Associate Dean to request an administrative withdrawal from all semester courses in which the student is registered.
- 7.12.1.4 Understand that the fee (see section 7.11.3) deducted from the student's monthly salary is forfeited for each of the semesters the intern was employed or \$1500 (subject to change) for each of the first two semesters and \$900 (subject to change) for each semester thereafter.

7.12.2 Withdrawal or Dismissal with Unsatisfactory Progress

- 7.12.2.1 If an ACP intern is not making satisfactory progress, the intern may voluntarily withdraw, or the public school representative or School of Education representative, based on their observations or evaluations, may request the ACP intern's withdrawal or dismissal by contacting the Center for Professional Development of Teachers Director. Following an oral request for withdrawal/dismissal, a written statement will be sent to the CPDT Director stating the reasons for the requested withdrawal/dismissal.
- 7.12.2.2 If the intern's progress is deemed unsatisfactory, then the intern is to:
 1. Meet with the Director of the CPDT so that the Director can ascertain that all materials have been returned and formally request that the student officially withdraw through the Office of Enrollment Services.
 2. Return all School or University materials within 5 days of the withdrawal/dismissal.
 3. Withdraw from the ACP program through the Office of Enrollment Services prior to the deadline stated in the Academic Calendar. If the withdrawal occurs after the published date to withdraw without penalty, the CPDT Director will notify the Associate Dean to request an administrative withdrawal from all semester courses in which the student is registered.

4. Understand that the fee (see section 7.11.3) deducted from the student's monthly salary is forfeited for each of the semesters the intern was employed or \$1500 (subject to change) for each of the first two semesters and \$900 (subject to change) for each semester thereafter.
5. Understand that once dismissed from the ACP Program that he/she may not be readmitted into the Alternative Certification Internship Program at UHCL.
6. Understand that he/she may appeal the dismissal by following UHCL School of Education Grievance Procedures.

7.12.2.3 Unsatisfactory progress includes, but is not limited to:

1. Behavior that exhibits an intern's prejudice toward children, school personnel, or others because of race, gender, age, national origin or handicapping condition.
2. Behavior that is physically or verbally threatening to children, public school personnel or School of Education personnel.
3. Behavior that demonstrates refusal of an ACP intern to fulfill the requirements of the program set out by the School of Education, a public school and its personnel, and/or the university supervisor.
4. Behavior that does not enhance or that negatively affects a child's ability to learn.
5. Behavior that indicates to the professional educators involved, that a ACP intern does not have the attitude, commitment, personal attributes, disposition, or skills to successfully complete the program and be recommended for certification.
6. Behavior that is in violation of the Code of Ethics and Standard Practices for Texas Educators or the UHCL Student Policy Handbook.

7.13 Professional Dispositions (9/15/04)

As an NCATE accredited institution, we will recommend for certification only those persons who have demonstrated the dispositions consistent with the Statement on Professional Dispositions. Continuation in the program is determined in part by adherence to the principles in the Statement on Professional Dispositions.

It is the student's responsibility to obtain a copy of the Statement on Professional Dispositions, become familiar with the contents and maintain the expected standard of behaviors described in the Statement. It is also the student's responsibility to be familiar with the contents of the *Student Life Policies Handbook*.

7.14 Provision of Individualized Assistance to Students in their First Year Following Program Completion

The faculty of the School of Education agree to provide individualized assistance to "completers" of any of the School of Education programs during their first year on the job for which their completed programs prepared them and after they have attained full certification. Students may request such assistance at any time during their first year in that job in any of the cooperating schools districts that are members of the CPDT. The assistance may take one of three forms:

1. That which is conducted at the University only (this may or may not be with the knowledge of the "completer's" job supervisor).
2. That which is conducted at the job site only (this may only be with the knowledge, approval, and appropriate participation of the "completer's" job supervisor).
3. That which is conducted both at the University (this may or may not be with the knowledge of the job supervisor at the option of the "completer") and at the job site (this may only be with the knowledge, approval, and appropriate participation of the job supervisor).

"Individualized" assistance includes provision of appropriate professional support and help through such means as mentoring by School of Education faculty members, involvement in small support groups, workshop sessions, and University participation in job site activities focused on helping the individual "completer." In cases where a State-mandated induction-year program is necessary for full certification, the first year on the job for purposes of this assistance will be that which follows the induction year.

To facilitate individualized assistance for completers, the School of Education has established a website at <http://www.cl.uh.edu/soe/ntol/>.

7.15 Scholarship Policies (8/1/98)

- 7.15.1 The Student Affairs Committee will serve as the School of Education Scholarship Committee and make recommendations to the Dean regarding scholarship awards; recommendations will reflect the criteria specified for the individual scholarship awards.
- 7.15.2 The Coordinator of Advising will provide administrative assistance to the Student Affairs Committee on scholarship awards.
- 7.15.3 Applications for scholarships and distribution of scholarship awards, based on School of Education scholarship decisions, typically will be coordinated through the UHCL Student Information and Assistance Office (SIAO); application and award calendars will be specified by SIAO.
- 7.15.4 Scholarship awards not coordinated through the SIAO will be coordinated by the Dean in collaboration with the Coordinator of Academic Advising and the Student Affairs Committee.

7.16 Grade Grievance Policy

7.16.1 Faculty and Student Grade Grievance Procedures

All appeals relating to specific course grades require that students first seek a satisfactory solution with the instructor. If this is not possible or the instructor cannot be reached, the student must send a written statement detailing the grounds for the appeal to the Associate Dean. This written request must be received by the Associate Dean within 45 days from the calendar date when grades are available as specified in the UHCL course schedule for that semester. The Associate Dean will then initiate the appropriate procedures to review the appeal. The student will be notified in writing of the decision. The student may appeal this decision in writing to the Dean within 15 working days of notification. The Dean's decision is final on all grade appeals. If the student is denied the opportunity to appeal a grade at the school level, he/she may appeal to the University Review Board to require a grade appeal hearing at the school.

- 7.16.1.1 If a student believes that established criteria for grades were misapplied by an instructor, the student will contact said instructor to discuss the grade.
- 7.16.1.2 If the student is unable to contact the instructor or the student's meeting with the instructor does not resolve the issue satisfactorily, the student may notify the Associate Dean in writing that a final grade is in question. This letter of appeal must reach the Associate Dean within forty-five days from the calendar date when grades are available as specified in the UHCL course schedule for that semester.
- 7.16.1.3 When a grade grievance is filed, a copy of the cover letter will be sent to the instructor by the Associate Dean.
- 7.16.1.4 The student letter to the Associate Dean must include evidence in support of the contention that established course grading criteria were misapplied. At this point, the course instructor is encouraged by the Associate Dean to submit the evidence upon which the original grade was based.
- 7.16.1.5 Once the student's grievance letter and the evidence from both the student and the instructor have been reviewed, the Associate Dean will make a decision and reply in writing to the student with a copy to the instructor.
- 7.16.1.6 If the student is unable to resolve the issue satisfactorily at the Associate Dean level, the student may file a written grade grievance with the Dean. This appeal must be received by the Dean within 15 working days of notification of the decision of the Associate Dean. The grievance must include a cover letter, which defines the basis of the grievance and documentation in support of the claim.
- 7.16.1.7 The Dean's office will forward the letter, documentation, and all other relevant information to the chairperson of the Student Affairs Committee, which serves as the Grade Grievance Committee for the School of Education. The Committee shall endeavor to make a recommendation to the Dean within 15 working days of receipt of the documentation.

- 7.16.1.8 A student may request in writing to meet with the Committee. If such a request is made, the Chair of the Committee will make arrangements to accommodate this request. The student may make a statement and respond to questions but may not be present for deliberations about the grievance.
- 7.16.1.9 The Committee will make a judgment either for or against the student's case based on the evidence presented. That judgment is forwarded to the Dean in the form of a written recommendation accompanied by a summary of the reasons for the decision.
- 7.16.1.10 Based on the evidence presented and on the recommendation of the Committee, the Dean will then make a decision for or against the student's case. The Dean's decision is final on all grade appeals.

7.16.2 Committee Guidelines

- 7.16.2.1 A grade grievance is by definition an unresolved dispute. It is both a dispute and an academic matter; it is not a legal matter.
- 7.16.2.2 The Committee must maintain confidentiality both during and after its review of the grievance.
- 7.16.2.3 Early in the review process, the Committee's task is to ascertain if the student's grievance involves the instructor's failure to fairly apply the established criteria for final course grades.
- 7.16.2.4 In a grade grievance the sole issue is whether the established criteria for final grades in the course were fairly applied in determining the grievant's course grade. Grades received are not at issue except as documentation that grades were or were not assigned objectively on the basis of established course criteria.
- 7.16.2.5 Should the Committee determine that the grounds for the student's case are not appropriate to sustain a grievance, it notifies the Dean in writing of that fact and recommends termination of the process. The following are examples of inappropriate grounds for a grade grievance:

The text was too difficult.
 Too much outside work was required.
 The entire grade was based on the final examination.
 There was a personal illness or illness in the family.
 There were job pressures or personal financial problems.
 The instructor used poor teaching techniques.
 Weekly quizzes should have counted more (or less).

- 7.16.2.6 The Committee must decide whether the grievant's case is supported by the weight of the evidence. The Committee may review documented information including, but not limited to, syllabi, expert evaluation, student products, formal letters relating to the case, etc. Unsupported allegations and statements of belief or feelings do not give the Committee a basis for making a judgment on a grade grievance.
- 7.16.2.7 A student may request in writing to meet with the Committee. If such a request is made, the Chair of the Committee will make arrangements for the student to meet with the committee. The student may make a statement and respond to questions but may not be present for deliberations about the grievance.
- 7.16.2.8 While the Committee works from the academic model rather than the legal one, it retains full responsibility for ensuring that the rights of all involved in the grievance are protected. Impartiality, confidentiality, and consideration only of the grade grievance itself are the best means for protecting rights. Attorneys or advocates are not appropriate tools for obtaining necessary information. The student may be accompanied to the meeting with the Committee by one adult individual, but this individual will not have the prerogative to address the Committee. Electronic recordings of the meeting and Committee deliberations will not be made.
- 7.16.2.9 Since it is the responsibility of the individual course instructors to establish criteria for grades in courses and to assign grades in those courses, no grade change is to be submitted, except by the instructor, until all steps in the grade grievance process have been completed.

- 7.16.2.10 At no stage in the grade grievance process is a decision to be made until full input is obtained from the course instructor (if available). That input will receive full consideration by the Associate Dean, the Dean and/or the Committee.
- 7.16.2.11 A determination that in a particular case established criteria for grades have not been applied does not mean that the student's grade should be changed. It may result in a recommendation that the grade be "re-computed" applying the criteria. This recommendation is made to the Dean who cannot change the grade unless the instructor is contacted, or until all reasonable efforts to contact the instructor have been exhausted.
- 7.16.2.12 Neither the criteria nor the individual grades may be determined or changed by administrators without the consent of the instructor. If the Committee recommends a grade change and if the instructor is not available, the Dean will have the authority to make the change.
- 7.16.2.13 The Committee completes its task when it has thoroughly reviewed relevant evidence and has submitted its recommendation and summary of reasons for that recommendation to the Dean.
- 7.16.2.14 If a grievance is filed against any member of the Committee, then that member will be excluded from all committee activities pertaining to the complaint.
- 7.16.2.15 Records of grade grievances will be maintained in the Dean's Office for a period of one year following the Dean's decision on the grievance. Information pertaining to grade grievances will remain confidential throughout the grievance process and afterward.

7.17 Records Management (5/14/92)

University of Houston-Clear Lake has a student records management policy that directs destruction of all student records except UHCL permanent academic records (transcripts) when there has been no enrollment by the student for five calendar years. The Texas Education Agency requires that colleges of education maintain permanent files on students who have been recommended for any certificate. These records must be kept in perpetuity, and the Certification Office must have the ability to print hard copies if necessary. The School of Education has adopted a Record Retention policy that is in compliance with the State of Texas Records Retention Schedule (SLR 105).

The School of Education currently has paper files for all students who have enrolled as a School of Education student. These student records are divided into two categories: Active (students who have attended during the past five years), and Inactive (students who have not enrolled in the past five years). Active files remain as hard copy files. Inactive files are shredded. Before shredding the file of any student whom UHCL recommended for certification or who filed a grievance with the School of Education, the file will be archived on SoE's Canofile software system.

7.17.1 Rationale

Space limitations prohibit the continuation of maintaining paper file folders for School of Education students whose records must be retained. The School of Education has established a records policy that complies with University of Houston-Clear Lake records management policies while meeting standards required for accreditation purposes. Under this policy certain inactive files will be copied onto a disk that can be easily stored.

7.17.2 Records to be Retained

Certification records that must be permanently retained:

1. Inside Cover Sheet
2. The audit copy and signed copy of the student's degree/certification plan
3. Deficiency plans and cover letter/attachment
4. Verification of Educator Experience form
5. Certification Audit Form
6. Out-of-State Certificate Verification forms signed by the Certification Officer
7. Evaluation or Site Placement forms for student teaching, Internships I and II experiences, PDI or ACP
8. Texas Teacher Service Record copy
9. Texas Teaching Certificate copy
10. Certification Recommendation forms

11. Any related correspondence that has affected the student's certification. Correspondence retained would include unusual exceptions, such as transfer courses whose titles might not indicate course content, or problems encountered that might be relevant to the certification
12. Speech Competency Form
13. Teacher Education Program application and acceptance letter
14. Waivers to withdraw from Internship I or II
15. Student Teaching, Internships I and II, THECB Project, PDI, or ACP Evaluation Sheets
16. Appeal letters
17. Legal papers
18. Graduate Internship Applications copy showing site placement
19. THECB Aide Exemption letters, waivers and forms
20. PDAS Applications, Letters of Verification and Certificates

7.17.3 Records to be Shredded

All records will be shredded for students who have not attended UHCL for five calendar years. The Office of Academic Records keeps records of all degrees conferred, and for the School of Education to keep records of these students is redundant. All certification records are archived on the Canofile software after five years of non-enrollment.

7.17.4 Non-certification Records that should be Maintained

Records will be kept for any student who has suggested, threatened or pursued legal action or who has signed a waiver to withdraw from the Teacher Education Program. If there is no enrollment activity from the student after five years, the entire contents of these files will be archived to disk.

7.17.5 Index and Backup Copies

Records will be scanned onto the Canofile hard drives and at intervals records will be copied on CDs that can be read by the Canofile software. In addition, a backup copy will be made by UCT from the server of all records. Once each record is verified on Canofile, all paper copies will be shredded. A separate computerized listing by student last name and social security number will be maintained on all records copied.

7.17.6 Storage of Records

7.17.6.1 Inactive Records

Records will be stored on the hard drive, on CD and on a backup CD. Backup CDs will be stored in a secure location in a UHCL building separate from the SoE office.

7.17.6.2 Active Records

Active records, that is, records for students who have been enrolled in UHCL for any part of the last five years will be maintained in paper file folders located in the School of Education file room. While such files are still in the active stage, all relevant information will be maintained.

7.17.6.3 Returning Students

The UHCL Enrollment Services policy for returning students who have not attended in five years or more is that those students must again furnish original documents for readmission and enrollment. Although UHCL transcripts are maintained, transcripts from all other universities are destroyed after five years of non-enrollment.

School of Education returning students will have an active file built with an indication in the file folder that previous records are on the Canofile software. Any part of the previously copied records that is relevant can be printed as needed for the newly established active file folder.

8.0 CENTER FOR EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS (2/7/94, 8/29/03)

8.1 Kids' U Operations

The CEP will assist School of Education academy/camp directors by coordinating the marketing and registration processes and taking care of the daily details of Kids' U. Directors of Kids' U academies/camps serve as advisors to the CEP director on day-to-day operations. Together with the Dean, faculty camp directors are the final decision-makers in courses offered, hiring of teachers and on expenditures made from their accounts, within their approved budgets following UHCL budget guidelines. The CEP Director therefore is responsible to the academy/camp directors to keep them informed about expenses, publicity, and personnel matters in a timely manner.

The following procedures will be implemented by the CEP:

- 8.1.1 Funds will be expended from accounts only with prior approval from Camp Directors. The CEP will process account expenditures year round and assist the business office in the monthly reconciliation of Center accounts.
 - 8.1.2 The director of each camp will submit course lists, instructor information, and other course plans by January 31. The CEP Director and the Camp Director will schedule the activities at a mutually agreeable time. The enrollment is to be reviewed and updated by the Camp Director two weeks before KIDS'U classes begin for the camp. Information (such as the approximate cost of the brochure and advertisements, as well as the approximate costs of instructors for the camp) will be provided to directors by the CEP Director in order to finalize the budget.
 - 8.1.3 The CEP Director periodically will provide account information to the Camp Directors, and after the University's final budget report for the preceding year will provide Camp Directors with a final expenditure report and account balance.
 - 8.1.4 Camp directors will provide information needed to complete payroll forms for camp instructors to the CEP prior to the first day of the month in which they will begin teaching. The Director of the CEP will assist Camp Directors in obtaining necessary information for completing Personnel Assistance Requests
 - 8.1.5 The CEP Director and Camp Directors will approve brochure materials by approximately February 1. Printing and distribution processes should be complete by April 1 for an approximate beginning date of May 1 for KIDS'U registration.
 - 8.1.6 Programs developed for other than summer will use appropriate deadlines mutually agreed upon by the CEP Director and the Camp Director.
- 8.2 Professional Development and Training Programs
- Non-credit professional development and training functions are an integral part of the mission of the School of Education at UHCL. The CEP will generally coordinate such offerings, and the Director of the Center will facilitate marketing, registration, and scheduling these opportunities for constituents of the School of Education.
- 8.2.1 A priority for these public school staff development opportunities will be the 23 school districts within the Teacher Center, the Alumni of the School of Education, and the Professional Development Schools who serve our current undergraduate students.
 - 8.2.2 Program plans and budgets will be approved in advance by the Dean.
 - 8.2.3 The CEP will serve to coordinate professional development activities of the Center for Professional Development of Teachers (CPDT).
 - 8.2.3.1 The contractual agreements under which the School of Education provides training for Professional Development Site Supervisors are developed by the CPDT.
 - 8.2.3.2 The CPDT priority for training will be the Professional Development Schools with which the School of Education has such contracts.
- 8.3 Evaluation of Continuing Education Programs
- 8.3.1 All continuing education offerings by the Center will be evaluated using an appropriate evaluation methodology.
 - 8.3.2 The CEP Director will meet annually in August with the Dean to discuss the Center's continuing education program, including consideration of the results of the evaluation of program offerings.

- 8.3.3 As with all UHCL personnel, a performance evaluation of the CEP Director will be conducted annually by the Dean.

Friday, December 10, 2004